AGENDA
WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Public Hearing – Third Reading and Third Public Hearing
Land Use & Transportation; County Counsel (CPO 5)

Agenda Category:

CONSIDER PROPOSED A-ENGROSSED ORDINANCE NO. 821 –
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY –
SHERWOOD URBAN PLANNING AREA AGREEMENT, AN
ELEMENT OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE
PLAN

Agenda Title:

Presented by:
Andrew Singelakis, Director of Land Use & Transportation
Alan Rappleyea, County Counsel

SUMMARY:

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 proposes to amend the Washington County –Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA), an element of the County’s Comprehensive Plan. The amendments to the UPAA include: updates to the UPAA map, a new section concerning concept planning the Urban Reserves area and other minor updates throughout the agreement.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is posted on the County’s land use ordinance webpage at the following link:

www.co.washington.or.us/landuseordinances

At its August 1, 2017 public hearing, the Board directed several changes to the text of the ordinance for clarification as described in the staff report.

A staff report will be provided to the Board prior to the September 26, 2017 hearing and posted on the above land use ordinance webpage. Copies of the report will also be available electronically and at the Clerk’s desk prior to the hearing.

Consistent with Board policy, testimony about the ordinance is limited to two minutes for individuals and five minutes for a representative of a group.

Clerk’s Desk Item: Staff Report (click to access electronic copy)

DEPARTMENT’S REQUESTED ACTION:

Read A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 by title only and conduct the second public hearing for the engrossed ordinance. At the conclusion of the hearing, adopt A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 and associated findings.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR’S RECOMMENDATION:

I concur with the requested action.

ADOPTED

Agenda Item No. 3.b.
Date: 09/26/17
BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON

A-ENGROSSED ORDINANCE 821

An Ordinance Amending the
Washington County - Sherwood Urban
Planning Area Agreement, an Element
of the Washington County
Comprehensive Plan

The Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oregon ("Board") ordains as
follows:

SECTION 1

A. The Board recognizes that the Urban Planning Area Agreement with the City of
   Sherwood ("City") was adopted by Ordinance No. 263 on June 28, 1983 and subsequently amended
   by Ordinance Nos. 307, 332, 668, and 723.

B. Subsequent ongoing planning efforts by Washington County ("County") indicate a
   need to amend the Urban Planning Area Agreement with the City relating to the City's Urban
   Planning Area and the need to coordinate planning of the new urban reserve lands and urban
   services. The Board recognizes that such changes are necessary from time to time for the benefit
   and welfare of the residents of Washington County, Oregon.

C. The County and City recognized, through Washington County Resolution and
   Order 09-63 and City of Sherwood Resolution 2009-046, that the City shall govern and urbanize
   all future urban growth boundary additions. And that further, the Oregon Legislature enacted
   House Bill 4078-A in 2014 and HB 2047 in 2015 which acknowledged the urban growth
   boundary, and rural and urban reserves with respect to the County and City.

WASHINGTON COUNTY COUNSEL
161 NW ADAMS AVENUE, SUITE 306, MS 24
HILLSBORO, OR 97124
PHONE: 503 846-8747 - FAX: 503 846-8636
D. Under the provisions of Washington County Charter Chapter X, the Department of Land Use and Transportation has carried out its responsibilities, including preparation of notices, and the County Planning Commission has conducted one or more public hearings on the proposed amendments and has submitted its recommendations to the Board. The Board finds that this Ordinance is based on those recommendations and any modifications made by the Board are a result of the public hearings process.

E. The Board finds and takes public notice that it is in receipt of all matters and information necessary to consider this Ordinance in an adequate manner, and finds that this Ordinance complies with the Statewide Planning Goals, the standards for legislative plan adoption as set forth in Chapters 197 and 215 of the Oregon Revised Statutes, the Washington County Charter, the Washington County Community Development Code, and the Washington County Comprehensive Plan.

SECTION 2

The following Exhibit, attached and incorporated herein by reference, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Washington County - Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement Element of the Washington County Comprehensive Plan as follows:

A. Exhibit 1 (12 pages) –

1. Adds language related to coordination of planning activities in the Urban Reserves;

2. Makes minor changes to the coordination of planning activities in the Urban Planning Area;

///
3. Deletes Map “Exhibit A” of the Washington County - Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement effective March 3, 2010; and


SECTION 3

All other Comprehensive Plan provisions that have been adopted by prior ordinance, which are not expressly amended or repealed herein, shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 4

All applications received prior to the effective date shall be processed in accordance with ORS 215.427.

SECTION 5

If any portion of this Ordinance, including the exhibits, shall for any reason be held invalid or unconstitutional by a body of competent jurisdiction, the remainder shall not be affected thereby and shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 6

The Office of County Counsel and Department of Land Use and Transportation are authorized to prepare planning documents to reflect the changes adopted under Section 2 of this Ordinance, including deleting and adding textual material and maps, renumbering pages or sections, and making any technical changes not affecting the substance of these amendments as necessary to conform to the Washington County Comprehensive Plan format.

///

///
SECTION 7

This Ordinance shall take effect 30 days after adoption.

ENACTED this 26th day of September, 2017, being the third reading and third public hearing before the Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oregon.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON

ADOPTED

CHAIRMAN

RECORDING SECRETARY

READING

First August 1, 2017
Second September 5, 2017
Third September 26, 2017

PUBLIC HEARING

First August 1, 2017
Second September 5, 2017
Third September 26, 2017

VOTE: Aye: Rogers, Duyck

Recording Secretary: Ana D. Nayola

Nay: none

Date: September 27, 2017
THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by WASHINGTON COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Oregon, hereinafter referred to as the “COUNTY”, and the CITY OF SHERWOOD, an incorporated municipality of the State of Oregon, hereinafter referred to as the “CITY”.

WHEREAS, ORS 190.010 provides that units of local government may enter into agreements for the performance of any or all functions and activities that a party to the agreement, its officers or agents, have authority to perform; and

WHEREAS, Statewide Planning Goal #2 (Land Use Planning) requires that city, county, state and federal agency and special district plans and actions shall be consistent with the comprehensive plans of the cities and counties and regional plans adopted under ORS Chapter 197; and

WHEREAS, the Oregon State Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) requires each jurisdiction requesting acknowledgment of compliance to submit an agreement setting forth the means by which comprehensive planning coordination within the Regional Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) will be implemented; and

WHEREAS, following the Urbanization Forum process, the COUNTY through Resolution & Order 09-63, and the CITY through Resolution 2009-046, agreed that all future additions to the UGB during or after 2010 must be governed and urbanized by the CITY in the COUNTY and also agreed to urge Metro to expand the UGB only to such areas as are contiguous to incorporated areas of Washington County; and

WHEREAS, the State legislature, with House Bill 4078-A in 2014 and House Bill 2047 in 2015, validated the acknowledged UGB and Urban and Rural Reserves established through the Metro Regional process involving both the COUNTY and the CITY; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY and CITY desire to amend the Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA) to reflect the changes to the UGB, the CITY’s Urban Planning Area, and the need for urban planning of the new urban reserve lands; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY and the CITY, to ensure coordinated and consistent comprehensive plans, consider it mutually advantageous to establish:

1. An Urban Planning Area Agreement incorporating both a site-specific Urban Planning Area within the UGB within which both the COUNTY and the CITY maintain an interest in comprehensive planning and an Urban Reserve Planning Area outside the UGB where both the COUNTY and the CITY maintain an interest in concept planning; and
2. A process for coordinating comprehensive planning and development in the Urban Planning Area and concept planning in the Urban Reserve Planning Area; and

3. Policies regarding comprehensive planning and development in the Urban Planning Area and concept planning in the Urban Reserve Planning Area; and

4. A process to amend the Urban Planning Area Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, THE COUNTY AND THE CITY AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

I. Location of the Urban Planning Area and Urban Reserve Planning Area

The Urban Planning Area and Urban Reserve Planning Area, mutually defined by the COUNTY and the CITY, include the areas designated on the Washington County - Sherwood UPAA Map “Exhibit A” to this Agreement.

II. Coordination of Comprehensive Planning and Development

A. Amendments to or Adoption of a Comprehensive Plan or Implementing Regulation

1. Definitions

   Comprehensive Plan means a generalized, coordinated land use map and policy statement of the governing body of a local government that interrelates all functional and natural systems and activities relating to the use of lands, including, but not limited to, sewer and water systems, transportation systems, educational facilities, recreational facilities, and natural resources and air and water quality management programs. “Comprehensive Plan” amendments do not include small tract comprehensive plan map changes.

   Implementing Regulation means any local government zoning ordinance, land division ordinance adopted under ORS 92.044 or 92.046 or similar general ordinance establishing standards for implementing a comprehensive plan. “Implementing regulation” does not include small tract zoning map amendments, conditional use permits, individual subdivision, partitioning or planned unit development approvals or denials, annexations, variances, building permits and similar administrative-type decisions.

2. The COUNTY shall provide the CITY with the appropriate opportunity to participate, review and comment on proposed amendments to or adoption of the COUNTY comprehensive plan or implementing regulations. The CITY shall provide the COUNTY with the appropriate opportunity to participate, review and comment on proposed amendments to or adoption of the CITY comprehensive plan or implementing regulations. The following procedures shall be followed by the COUNTY and the CITY to notify and involve one another in the process to amend or adopt a comprehensive plan or implementing regulation.
a. The CITY or the COUNTY, whichever has jurisdiction over the proposal, hereinafter the originating agency, shall notify the other agency, hereinafter the responding agency, by first class mail or as an attachment to electronic mail of the proposed action at the time such planning efforts are initiated, but in no case less than thirty-five (35) days prior to the first hearing on adoption. For COUNTY or CITY comprehensive plan updates with the potential to affect the responding agency’s land use or transportation system, the originating agency shall provide the responding agency with the opportunity to participate in the originating agency’s planning process prior to the notification period, such as serving on the originating agency’s advisory committee.

b. For COUNTY or CITY comprehensive plan updates with the potential to affect the responding agency’s land use or transportation system, the originating agency shall transmit the draft amendments to the responding agency for its review and comment before finalizing. The responding agency shall have ten (10) days after receipt of a draft to submit comments orally or in writing. Lack of response shall be considered “no objection” to the draft.

c. The originating agency shall respond to the comments made by the responding agency either by a) revising the final recommendations, or b) by letter to the responding agency explaining why the comments cannot be addressed in the final draft.

d. Comments from the responding agency shall be given consideration as a part of the public record on the proposed action. If after such consideration, the originating agency acts contrary to the position of the responding agency, the responding agency may seek appeal of the action through the appropriate appeals body and procedures.

e. Upon final adoption of the proposed action by the originating agency, it shall transmit the adopting ordinance to the responding agency as soon as publicly available, or if not adopted by ordinance, whatever other written documentation is available to properly inform the responding agency of the final actions taken.

B. Development Actions Requiring Individual Notice to Property Owners

1. Definition

Development Action Requiring Notice means an action by a local government which requires notifying by mail the owners of property which could potentially be affected (usually specified as a distance measured in feet) by a proposed development action which directly affects and is applied to a specific parcel or parcels. Such development actions may include, but not be limited to, small
tract zoning or comprehensive plan map amendments, conditional or special use permits, land divisions, planned unit developments, variances, and other similar actions requiring a quasi-judicial hearings process.

2. The COUNTY will provide the CITY with the opportunity to review and comment on proposed development actions requiring notice within the designated Urban Planning Area and Urban Reserve Planning Area. The CITY will provide the COUNTY with the opportunity to review and comment on proposed development actions requiring notice within the CITY limits that may have an effect on unincorporated portions of designated Urban Planning Area or the COUNTY’s transportation network.

3. The following procedures shall be followed by the COUNTY and the CITY to notify one another of proposed development actions:

a. The CITY or the COUNTY, whichever has jurisdiction over the proposal, hereinafter the originating agency, shall send by first class mail or as an attachment to electronic mail a copy of the public hearing notice or comment period notice with no public hearing which identifies the proposed development action to the other agency, hereinafter the responding agency, at the earliest opportunity, but no less than ten (10) days prior to the date of the scheduled public hearing or end of the comment period. The failure of the responding agency to receive a notice shall not invalidate an action if a good faith attempt was made by the originating agency to notify the responding agency.

b. The agency receiving the notice may respond at its discretion. Comments may be submitted in written or electronic form or an oral response may be made at the public hearing. Lack of written or oral response shall be considered “no objection” to the proposal.

c. If received in a timely manner, the originating agency shall include or attach the comments to the written staff report and respond to any concerns addressed by the responding agency in such report or orally at the hearing.

d. Comments from the responding agency shall be given consideration as a part of the public record on the proposed action. If, after such consideration, the originating agency acts contrary to the position of the responding agency, the responding agency may seek appeal of the action through the appropriate appeals body and procedures.

C. Additional Coordination Requirements

1. The CITY and the COUNTY shall do the following to notify one another of proposed actions which may affect the community, but are not subject to the
notification and participation requirements contained in subsections A and B above.

a. The CITY or the COUNTY, whichever has jurisdiction over the proposed actions, hereinafter the originating agency, shall send by first class mail or as an attachment to electronic mail a copy of all public hearing agendas which contain the proposed actions to the other agency, hereinafter the responding agency, at the earliest opportunity, but no less than three (3) days prior to the date of the scheduled public hearing. The failure of the responding agency to receive an agenda shall not invalidate an action if a good faith attempt was made by the originating agency to notify the responding agency.

b. The agency receiving the public hearing agenda may respond at its discretion. Comments may be submitted in written or electronic form or an oral response may be made at the public hearing. Lack of written or oral response shall be considered “no objection” to the proposal.

c. Comments from the responding agency shall be given consideration as a part of the public record on the proposed action. If, after such consideration, the originating agency acts contrary to the position of the responding agency, the responding agency may seek appeal of the action through the appropriate appeals body and procedures.

III. Concept Planning for Urban Reserve Areas

A. Definitions

1. Urban Reserve means those lands outside the UGB that have been so designated by Metro for the purpose of:
   a. Future expansion over a long-term period (40-50 years), and
   b. The cost-effective provision of public facilities and services when the lands are included within the UGB.

2. Urban Reserve Planning Area means those Urban Reserves identified as ultimately being governed by the CITY at such time as the UGB is amended to include the Urban Reserve Area.

3. Urban Reserve - Planning Responsibility Undefined means those Urban Reserves that the CITY and at least one other city may have an interest in ultimately governing, but no final agreement has been reached. These areas are not considered part of the Urban Reserve Planning Area.

B. The CITY’s Urban Reserve Planning Area and the Urban Reserve - Planning Responsibility Undefined are identified on “Exhibit A” to this Agreement.
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C. The CITY and COUNTY shall be jointly responsible for developing a concept plan for the Urban Reserve Planning Area in coordination with Metro and appropriate service districts. The concept plan shall include the following:

1. An agreement between the COUNTY and CITY regarding expectations for road funding, jurisdictional transfer over roadways to and from the CITY and COUNTY, and access management for county roads in the Urban Reserve Planning Area. The agreement should describe any changes to the CITY and/or COUNTY Transportation System Plans, other Comprehensive Plan documents, or codes that have been adopted or will be necessary to implement this agreement.

2. An agreement between the COUNTY and CITY that preliminarily identifies the likely providers of urban services, as defined in ORS 195.065.(4), when the area is urbanized.

D. The concept plan shall be approved by the CITY and acknowledged by the COUNTY.

E. Upon completion and acknowledgement of the concept plan by the CITY and COUNTY, and the addition of the area into the UGB by Metro, the affected portion of the Urban Reserve Planning Area shall be designated as part of the Urban Planning Area. Inclusion in the Urban Planning Area is automatic and does not require an amendment to this agreement.

IV. Comprehensive Planning and Development Policies for Urban Planning Areas

A. Definition

Urban Planning Area means the incorporated area and certain unincorporated areas contiguous to the incorporated area for which the CITY conducts comprehensive planning and seeks to regulate development activities to the greatest extent possible. The CITY’s Urban Planning Area is designated on “Exhibit A” to this Agreement.

B. The CITY shall be responsible for comprehensive planning within the Urban Planning Area.

C. The CITY and COUNTY will implement the applicable Urban Reserve concept plan and related agreements as the comprehensive plan is prepared for the Urban Planning Area to ensure consistency and continuing applicability with the original concept plan. If modifications to the original concept plan are made during the comprehensive planning process, the parties will update the related agreements to reflect these changes, which may include transportation, access and funding.

D. The CITY shall be responsible for the preparation, adoption and amendment of the Agreement amended by Washington County Land Use A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 Adopted September 26, 2017
public facility plan required by OAR 660-011 within the Urban Planning Area.

E. As required by OAR 660-011-0010, the CITY is identified as the appropriate provider of local water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer and transportation facilities within the Urban Planning Area. Exceptions include facilities provided by other service providers subject to the terms of any intergovernmental agreement the CITY may have with other service providers; facilities under the jurisdiction of other service providers not covered by an intergovernmental agreement; and future facilities that are more appropriately provided by an agency other than the CITY.

F. The COUNTY shall not approve land divisions within the unincorporated Urban Planning Area that are inconsistent with the provisions of the Future Development 20-Acre District (FD-20).

G. The COUNTY shall not approve a development proposal in the Urban Planning Area if the proposal would not provide for, nor be conditioned to provide for, an enforceable plan for redevelopment to urban densities consistent with the CITY’s Comprehensive Plan in the future upon annexation to the CITY as indicated by the CITY Comprehensive Plan.

H. The COUNTY will not oppose any orderly, logical annexation of land to the CITY within the CITY’s Urban Planning Area.

V. Amendments to the Urban Planning Area Agreement

A. The following procedures shall be followed by the CITY and the COUNTY to amend the language of this agreement or the Urban Planning Area Boundary:

1. The CITY or COUNTY, whichever jurisdiction originates the proposal, shall submit a formal request for amendment to the responding agency.

2. The formal request shall contain the following:

a. A statement describing the amendment.

b. A statement of findings indicating why the proposed amendment is necessary.

c. If the request is to amend the planning area boundary, a map that clearly indicates the proposed change and surrounding area.

3. Upon receipt of a request for amendment from the originating agency, the responding agency shall schedule a review of the request before the appropriate reviewing body, with said review to be held within forty-five (45) days of the date the request is received.
4. The CITY and COUNTY shall make good faith efforts to resolve requests to amend this agreement. Upon completion of the review, the reviewing body may approve the request, deny the request, or make a determination that the proposed amendment warrants additional review. If it is determined that additional review is necessary, the following procedures shall be followed by the CITY and COUNTY:

a. If inconsistencies noted by both parties cannot be resolved in the review process as outlined in Section V. A. (3), the CITY and the COUNTY may agree to initiate a joint study. Such a study shall commence within thirty (30) days of the date it is determined that a proposed amendment creates an inconsistency, and shall be completed within ninety (90) days of said date. Methodologies and procedures regulating the conduct of the joint study shall be mutually agreed upon by the CITY and the COUNTY prior to commencing the study.

b. Upon completion of the joint study, the study and the recommendations drawn from it shall be included within the record of the review. The agency considering the proposed amendment shall give careful consideration to the study prior to making a final decision.

B. The parties will jointly review this Agreement periodically, or as needed, to evaluate the effectiveness of the processes set forth herein and to make any necessary amendments. Both parties shall make a good faith effort to resolve any inconsistencies that may have developed since the previous review. If, after completion of a sixty (60) day review period inconsistencies still remain, either party may terminate this Agreement.

C. Any boundary changes due to annexation into the CITY or updates to the UGB are automatic and do not require an amendment to “Exhibit A”.

VI. This Agreement shall become effective upon full execution by the COUNTY and the CITY and shall then repeal and replace the Washington County-Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement effective March 3, 2010. The effective date of this Agreement shall be the last date of signature on the signature page.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties have executed this Urban Planning Area Agreement on the date set opposite their signatures.

CITY OF SHERWOOD

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Mayor

Approved as to Form:

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
City Attorney

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
City Recorder

WASHINGTON COUNTY

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Chair, Board of Commissioners

Approved as to Form:

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
County Counsel

By ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Recording Secretary
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AGENDA

WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Agenda Category: Action – Land Use & Transportation (CPO 5)

Agenda Title: ADOPT FINDINGS FOR A-ENGROSSED ORDINANCE NO. 821

Presented by: Andrew Singelakis, Director of Land Use & Transportation

SUMMARY:

A- Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 amends the Washington County – Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA), an element of the County’s Comprehensive Plan. Ordinance No. 821 is posted on the County's land use ordinance webpage at the following link:

www.co.washington.or.us/landuseordinances

Post acknowledgment comprehensive plan amendments are amendments made to the County’s Comprehensive Plan after it was acknowledged by the State Department of Land Conservation and Development as complying with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.615 requires that such amendments be accompanied by findings setting forth the facts and analysis showing that the amendments are consistent with the applicable Statewide Planning Goals, Oregon Revised Statutes, State Administrative Rules and the applicable provisions of Washington County’s Comprehensive Plan.

Additionally, as required by Title 8 of Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP), any amendment to a comprehensive plan or implementing ordinance shall be consistent with the requirements of the UGMFP.

Attached is the Resolution and Order to adopt the findings for A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821. Prior to the September 26, 2017 meeting, the proposed findings will be provided to the Board, posted on the above land use ordinance webpage, and available at the Clerk’s desk.

Attachment: Resolution and Order

Exhibit A (Ordinance Findings) is linked online.

DEPARTMENT’S REQUESTED ACTION:

Adopt the findings for A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 and authorize the Chair to sign the Resolution and Order memorializing the action.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR’S RECOMMENDATION:

I concur with the requested action.

RO 17-99

Agenda Item No. 4.b.
Date: 09/26/17
IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON

In the Matter of Adopting Legislative Findings in Support of A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 ) RESOLUTION AND ORDER No. 17-99

This matter having come before the Washington County Board of Commissioners at its meeting of September 26, 2017; and

It appearing to the Board that the findings contained in “Exhibit A” summarize relevant facts and rationales with regard to compliance with the Statewide Planning Goals, Oregon Revised Statutes and Administrative Rules, Washington County’s Comprehensive Plan, and titles of Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan relating to A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821; and

It appearing to the Board that the findings attached and herein incorporated as “Exhibit A” constitute appropriate legislative findings with respect to the adopted ordinance; and

It appearing to the Board that the Planning Commission, at the conclusion of its public hearing on July 5, 2017, made a recommendation to the Board, which is in the record and has been reviewed by the Board; and

It appearing to the Board that, in the course of its deliberations, the Board has considered the record which consists of all notices, testimony, staff reports, and correspondence from interested parties, together with a record of the Planning Commission’s proceedings, and other items submitted to the Planning Commission and Board regarding this ordinance; it is therefore,

RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the attached findings in “Exhibit A” in support of A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 are hereby adopted.

DATED this 26th day of September, 2017.

[Signatures of Board of Commissioners]

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON

Chairman

[Signature]

[Signature]

County Counsel
For Washington County, Oregon
EXHIBIT A

FINDINGS FOR A-ENGROSSED ORDINANCE NO. 821

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY – SHERWOOD URBAN PLANNING AREA AGREEMENT, AN ELEMENT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

September 26, 2017

Part 1 – General Findings
Part 2 – Statewide Planning Goal Findings
Part 3 – Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Findings

Part 1:
GENERAL FINDINGS

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 amends the Washington County – Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA), an element of the Comprehensive Plan. Proposed updates include adding policies and processes for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserves within Sherwood's area of interest and minor changes to the policies and processes for comprehensive planning in the Urban Planning Area. The planning area map is revised to reflect Sherwood's Urban Reserve Planning Area, changes to the Urban Planning Area and annexations since the last update.

Key Ordinance Provisions

- Minor changes to the processes and policies for coordinating comprehensive planning in the Urban Planning Area
- A new section III, (Concept Planning for the Urban Reserve Areas) of the UPAA that includes a process for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserve Area
- Exhibit A of the 2010 UPAA is deleted and replaced with a new Exhibit A, a map reflecting the addition of the Urban Reserve Planning Area, the Urban Reserve - Planning Responsibility Undefined and recent city annexations
- Minor text changes throughout the document to provide consistency and clarity

Because the ordinance would make changes that do not affect compliance with Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals (Goals), it is not necessary for these findings to address the Goals with respect to each amendment. The County Board of Commissioners (Board) finds that the Goals apply to amendments covered by these findings only to the extent noted in specific responses to individual applicable Goals, and that each amendment complies with the Goals. Goals 15 (Willamette River Greenway), 16 (Estuarine Resources), 17 (Coastal Shorelands), 18 (Beaches and Dunes), and 19 (Ocean Resources) and related Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) are not addressed because these resources are not located within Washington County.
The County is also required to make findings that the amendments are consistent with the requirements of Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP). These findings are addressed in this document. Among others, Title 11 (Planning for New Urban Areas) of the UGMFP is specifically relevant for the planning of Regional Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) expansion and Urban Reserve areas. Specific findings for A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 relating to the UGMFP are found in Part 3 of this document.

Part 2:
STATEWIDE PLANNING GOAL FINDINGS

The purpose of the findings in this document is to demonstrate that A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Statewide Planning Goals (Goals), Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS), Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) requirements, Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP) and Washington County’s Comprehensive Plan (Plan). The County’s Plan was adopted to implement the aforementioned planning documents and was acknowledged by the State of Oregon. The County follows the post-acknowledgement plan amendment (PAPA) process to update the Plan with new state and regional regulations as necessary and relies in part upon these prior state review processes to demonstrate compliance with all necessary requirements. No goal compliance issues were raised in the hearing proceedings described below. In addition, none of the proposed changes to the map and text of the UPAA, implicate a goal compliance issue. The following precautionary findings are provided to demonstrate ongoing compliance.

Goal 1 - Citizen Involvement
Goal 1 addresses Citizen Involvement by requiring the implementation of a comprehensive program to stimulate citizen participation in the planning process. Washington County has an acknowledged citizen involvement program that provides a range of opportunities for citizens and other interested parties to participate in all phases of the planning process. In addition, Chapter X of the County’s Charter sets forth specific requirements for citizen involvement during review and adoption of land use ordinances. Washington County has followed these requirements for the adoption of A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821.

Goal 2 - Land Use Planning
Goal 2 addresses Land Use Planning by requiring an adequate factual base to support a decision as well as coordination with affected governmental entities. Washington County has an acknowledged land use planning process that provides for the review and update of the various elements of the Plan, which includes documents such as the Rural/Natural Resource Plan, Comprehensive Framework Plan for the Urban Area (CFP), Urban Planning Area Agreements, Community Plans, Community Development Code (CDC), and Transportation System Plan (TSP). Washington County utilized this process to adopt A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821.

Notice was coordinated with all affected governmental entities. The city of Sherwood provided a letter of support for adoption of this ordinance. No other comments were received.
Goal 2 requires that governmental plans related to land use must be consistent with adopted County and city comprehensive plans and regional plans adopted under ORS Chapter 197. Urban Planning Area Agreements, as elements of the County’s Comprehensive Plan, address how cities within the County will coordinate comprehensive planning and provide for the opportunity to clearly identify and coordinate planning responsibilities and a process that will guide the concept planning. Ord. No. 821 addresses the roles, responsibilities and policies that the County and Sherwood will apply to the Urban Reserve lands that are within Sherwood’s area of interest. The County and Sherwood coordinated in agreeing to the revisions to the UPAA and Sherwood supported Ord. No. 821 through a resolution and as a signatory to the UPAA, once approved by the County. Thus, A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Goal 2.

**Goal 3 - Agricultural Lands**

Goal 3 seeks to preserve and maintain agricultural lands for farm use, consistent with existing and future needs for agricultural products, forest and open space, and with the state's agricultural land use policies. Policy 15, Implementing Strategies (a) and (f), of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan include provisions for the preservation of agricultural lands.

With House Bill 4078-A in 2014 and House Bill in 2047 in 2015, the Oregon legislature validated and acknowledged the Metro-led process for developing the Urban and Rural Reserves. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 includes amendments to the Sherwood UPAA that add policies and processes for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserve lands within Sherwood’s Urban Planning Area. The concept planning required under Title 11 of the UGMFP for the designated Urban Reserve areas will not change or affect comprehensive plan designations or land regulations for lands subject to Goal 3. Thus, A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Goal 3.

**Goal 4 – Forest Lands**

Goal 4 addresses the conservation of forest lands by maintaining the forest land base and protecting the state’s forest economy by making possible economically efficient forest practices. Policy 16, Implementing Strategies (a) and (c) of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan include provisions for the conservation and maintenance of forest lands.

With House Bill 4078-A in 2014 and House Bill in 2047 in 2015, the Oregon legislature validated and acknowledged the Metro-led process for developing the Urban and Rural Reserves. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 includes amendments to the Sherwood UPAA that add policies and processes for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserve lands within Sherwood’s Urban Planning Area. The concept planning required under Title 11 of the UGMFP for the designated Urban Reserve areas will not change or affect comprehensive plan designations or land regulations for lands subject to Goal 4. Thus, A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Goal 4.

**Goal 5 – Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces**

Goal 5 addresses the protection of natural resources and the conservation of scenic, cultural, and historic areas and open spaces by requiring local programs to protect these resources in order to
promote a healthy environment and natural landscape that contributes to Oregon’s livability for present and future generations.

In addition, OAR 660-023-0250 requires application of current Goal 5 provisions to post-acknowledgment plan amendments (PAPAs) when the PAPA (1) creates or amends a resource list or a portion of an acknowledged plan or land use regulation that protects a significant Goal 5 resource, or (2) allows new uses that could be conflicting uses with a particular Goal 5 site.

Policies 10, 11 and 12 of the CFP, Policies 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan, and various sections of the Community Plans and the CDC include provisions for the protection of Goal 5 resources.

Regulatory protection of the County’s existing Goal 5 Resources will not change as a result of the amendments in this ordinance and will remain until annexation by the city occurs.

Drainage Hazard Areas and 100-year floodplains are located within the area subject to A-Engrossed Ordinance No 821; however, this ordinance does not amend any provisions of the CDC or Plan policies or strategies relating to Goal 5.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not allow any new uses in any affected land use district within the Sherwood Planning Area and therefore will not conflict with acknowledged Goal 5 resources.

**Goal 10 - Housing**

Goal 10 requires the provision of housing, including adequate numbers of units within a range of prices, types and densities that provide realistic options to meet citizen needs. Policies 21, 22, 23 and 24 of the CFP, and Policies 19 and 25 of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan address the provision of housing in the urban and rural areas of the county. The CDC contributes to the provision of adequate housing by establishing standards that facilitate development in an orderly and efficient fashion.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not amend the applicable Plan policies related to housing, Plan designations, or housing density standards. The amendment to the UPAA includes adding policies and processes for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserves within Sherwood’s area of interest and minor changes to the process for comprehensive planning in the Urban Planning Area and therefore does not conflict with Goal 10.

**Goal 11 - Public Facilities and Services**

Goal 11 requires a plan for the orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development. Policies 15, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of the CFP, and Policy 22 of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan address the provision of public facilities and services in the urban and rural areas of unincorporated Washington County. The CDC requires that adequate public facilities and services be available for new development.
Plan compliance with Goal 11 is maintained with the amendments made to A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821. The amendments are consistent with the County’s acknowledged policies and strategies for the provision of public facilities and services as required by Goal 11. The amendments identify that the city is responsible, in coordination with the County for developing concept plans in the unincorporated areas within Sherwood’s Urban Planning Area. The UPAA includes policies and processes for coordinating concept and comprehensive planning in order to preliminarily identify the likely providers of urban services, as defined in ORS 195.065(4), when the area urbanizes. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Goal 11.

**Goal 12 - Transportation**

Goal 12 requires the provision and encouragement of a safe, convenient, multi-modal, and economic transportation system. Policy 32 of the CFP, Policy 23 of the Rural/Natural Resource Plan, and in particular the Washington County Transportation System Plan (TSP) describe the transportation system necessary to accommodate the transportation needs of Washington County. Implementing measures are contained in the TSP, Community Plans, and the CDC.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not amend the TSP, nor does it include any transportation-related amendments to the Community Plans or the CDC.

The amendments are consistent with the County’s acknowledged policies and strategies for the provision of transportation facilities and services as required by Goal 12 (the Transportation Planning Rule or TPR, implemented via OAR Chapter 660, Division 12).

The amendments in A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 do not significantly affect the transportation system as described by the criteria in OAR 660-012-0060. The amendments in A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 do not change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility; change standards implementing a functional classification system; result in types or levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the adopted functional classification system designated by the acknowledged TSP for any existing or planned transportation facility; or degrade the performance of any existing or planned transportation facility. The amendments in A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 make no amendments to the Transportation System Plan and require additional transportation analysis before changes would be considered. Therefore, the amendments found in A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 are consistent with the TPR.

**Goal 14 - Urbanization**

Goal 14 requires provisions for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities. Policies 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 41 and 42 of the CFP address urbanization within the UGB. The CDC implements the urbanization policies by establishing standards to promote appropriate urban development. The Community Plans implement the urbanization policies by designating sufficient land for appropriate development.
The UGB was last expanded in 2004 to include lands surrounding Sherwood. The expansion was subsequently acknowledged by the Land Conservation and Development Commission. The County relies on the findings acknowledged by LCDC to demonstrate compliance with Goal 14.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not add any land to the UGB or urbanize any land. The UPAA with Sherwood provides a process, policies and requirements for coordinating concept planning in the designated Urban Reserve Area in order to provide for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land uses. Goal 14 will apply to future decisions to add Urban Reserve lands to the UGB or when lands are annexed in the city’s Urban Planning Area as identified in Exhibit A, the Sherwood Urban Planning Area map. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Goal 14.

**Part 3: URBAN GROWTH MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONAL PLAN FINDINGS**

Section 3.07.810 of Title 8 of Metro’s Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP) requires that County comprehensive plan changes be consistent with the UGMFP. The following A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 findings have been prepared to address Title(s) 1, 4, 8, 11 and 14 of the UGMFP.

**Title 1 - Housing Capacity**

Title 1 requires a city or county to maintain or increase its housing capacity (except as provided in Section 3.07.120) per the Regional Framework Plan which calls for a compact urban form and a “fair share” approach to meeting housing needs.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 amends the Washington County – Sherwood Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA), which does not directly address housing capacity or housing need. The UPAA provides an opportunity for Sherwood, along with the County to coordinate planning efforts and develop comprehensive plans that will meet Sherwood’s future housing needs and support Title 1 requirements.

**Title 4 – Industrial and Other Employment Areas**

Title 4 seeks to provide and protect a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (RSIAs), Industrial and Employment Areas. Title 4 also seeks to provide the benefits of “clustering” to those industries that operate more productively and efficiently in proximity to one another than in dispersed locations. Title 4 further seeks to protect the capacity and efficiency of the region’s transportation system for the movement of goods and services and to encourage the location of other types of employment in Centers, Corridors, Main Streets and Station Communities.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not directly provide and protect a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in RSIAs, Industrial and
Employment Areas. However, a portion of the un-annexed areas identified in Sherwood’s Urban Planning Area are designated as Employment and Industrial Lands on Metro’s 2040 Growth Concept Plan. These Employment and Industrial Lands are designated as an Area of Special Concern (ASC 4) in Policy 41 of the County’s Comprehensive Framework Plan for the Urban Area. As an ASC 4, Policy 41 provides restrictions on subdividing or partitioning as well as limiting certain land uses such as day care facilities, cemeteries, religious institutions and schools. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 includes minor amendments to the processes and policies for coordinating comprehensive planning in the Urban Planning Area that are compatible with Title 4 protections of these areas.

**Title 8 - Compliance Procedures**

Title 8 sets forth Metro’s procedures for determining compliance with the UGMFP. Included in this title are steps local jurisdictions must take to ensure that Metro has the opportunity to review amendments to comprehensive plans. Title 8 requires jurisdictions to submit notice to Metro at least 35 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing for a proposed amendment to a comprehensive plan.

Consistent with Title 8, a copy of proposed Ordinance No. 821 was mailed to Metro on May 31, 2017, 35 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing. Metro provided no comments on this ordinance.

**Title 11 - Planning For New Urban Areas**

Title 11 guides planning of Urban Reserves and areas added to the UGB for conversion from rural to urban use. Title 11 includes requirements that the development of areas added to the UGB implement the Regional Framework Plan and the 2040 Growth Concept.

In 2015, the Oregon legislature validated and acknowledged the Metro-led process for developing Urban and Rural Reserve land designations for the region, including approximately 1900 acres of Urban Reserve land within the unincorporated areas surrounding Sherwood. Title 11 identifies the planning responsibilities and guiding policies and requirements for the Urban Reserve areas as they transition from rural to urban uses.

The County has an interest in assuring that the planning for the unincorporated area meets the expectations for road funding, access management, any potential jurisdictional transfer of roadways and appropriate serviceability to the area in compliance with Title 11. Thus, the 2017 UPAA amendment provides the opportunity to clearly identify and coordinate planning responsibilities and a process that will guide the concept planning expectations for the Urban Reserve Area in a timely manner including specific provisions in the UPAA that address Title 11 requirements directly.
Title 14 – Urban Growth Boundary

Title 14 prescribes criteria and procedures for amendments to the urban growth boundary to provide a clear transition from rural to urban development, an adequate supply of urban land to accommodate long-term population and employment, and a compact urban form.

A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 does not add any land to the UGB or urbanize any land. The UPAA provides a process, policies and requirements for coordinating concept planning in the Urban Reserve Area in order to provide for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land uses. Title 14 will apply to future decisions to add Urban Reserve lands to the UGB or when lands are annexed in the city’s Urban Planning Area as identified in the Sherwood Urban Planning Area map. A-Engrossed Ordinance No. 821 is consistent with Title 14.