



**URBAN ROAD MAINTENANCE DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
November 19, 2015

Members: Marty Moyer  
Bonnie Hadley  
Anthony Mills  
Larry Virgin  
Tim Connelly  
Ray Eck  
Larry Virgin

Staff: Dave Schamp  
Steve Franks  
Shelley Oylear  
Victoria Saager  
Todd Watkins  
Ron Del Rosario

Absent: Jason Yurgel  
Martin Granum

Guests: Tim Glassman

**Welcome**

Marty Moyer called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

**Guest Comments**

Guest Tim Glassman stated he was glad to see Candidate 245 discussed and appreciates Minor Betterment Program support for this item. Mr. Glassman described a death at that site, and thanked the committee for being effective.

**Minutes**

Minutes were not posted or finalized and will be addressed at the December meeting.

**Safety Improvement Candidates Recommendations - Minor Betterment Committee**

Committee members, with Victoria Saager guidance, reviewed possible Safety Improvement Candidates for construction in 2015-2016.

- Marty proposed Candidate 213, as area 93 expansion includes a new housing development of 71 homes. South of Findley Elementary School
- The committee addressed the need to target older neighborhoods such as CPO 7 and CPO 1 and develop projects
- Committee members agree on targeting safety improvement candidates that support school areas
- Some discussion on building on these projects, consolidating/grouping projects to get better bids
- Identify projects that do not meet Minor Betterment criteria

## **Minor Betterment Candidate Update – Ron Del Rosario**

Ron presented on Minor Betterment Program project candidates as of August 12, 2014.

- Committee members agreed to keep candidate 245 on the list.
- Bronson – candidate 030 (174th to 179th), not selected, was discussed. Possible Gain Share projects assigned to this candidate from Bethany to 174. May need to widen roads before being able to put in a pedestrian path.
- River Rd. at Rosedale – has been widened and needs to be restriped.
- Jackson School Rd. – West Union project may not be URMD eligible based on the rules.
- 185 to Cornelius Pass has a lot of traffic
- 92nd at Garden Homes Rd. – Candidate 217 should not be on the list. Not eligible for URMD funding.
- Marty – proposed there be three recommendations from Minor Betterment and a short list from URMDAC.
- Bonnie – proposed targeting under connectivity and ranking from school, there should be a reflection of proximity to schools and asked about the consideration of bus routes. Suggested streets that are two to three miles from the schools and have multiple stops be incorporated as part of the criteria.
- Victoria – described that the projects are sorted from safety score as of now. URMDAC could change the scoring or criteria. Minor Betterment uses different criteria. Over \$500,000 may be a capital project. Written ordinance does not preclude URMD from funding a large project.
- Victoria suggested to the committee that a short list of projects may be helpful. The staff will make a packet with more information on each project.
- Committee members agreed on review of a short list.
- Ray – proposed looking at total dollar amounts, a list a 15 projects and to get more information.
- Bonnie – proposed the list have 25 projects and at least the top 20 should be scoped.
- <http://www.co.washington.or.us/LUT/Divisions/Operations/Programs/upload/URMD-ord-3-signed.pdf>
- Discussion of interpretation of ordinance and looking at top 25 candidates – review of candidates will occur at the December meeting. Ron will follow up with costing projects (break off point at candidate 287).

## **Geographic Distribution of Projects – Bonnie Hadley**

Bonnie presented on [Geographic Distribution of Projects](#) (posted on website) describing funding and recommendations by CPO. She noted that out of the 47 projects developed and CPO break down, there were 0% projects at any CPOs starting with a 4. Bonnie questioned why that would be and suggested it is time that older residential areas such as 4B, 4M and 4K have some projects. She described neighborhoods as needing sidewalks on 45 mph streets.

Committee members agreed that most CPOs are well organized but certain CPOs are not. Committee discussed working with CPOs to spread safety improvements throughout the county.

Ray recommends that they look up other projects in CPO 4 and bring them to the group. There are two possible projects, Bull Mountain Rd. and Fischer Rd.

### **Annual Report Draft and financial update – Victoria Saager**

Annual Report Draft not yet available.

Victoria presented an URMD financial projections slide and reported that in the upcoming year we are looking at about \$7.3 million for 2015- 2016 and \$3.8 million available. Bonnie asked if the committee is selecting more projects than can be constructed, and should the committee be choosing fewer or simpler projects. Todd commented that it is the decision of the committee to make that determination.

Victoria and Todd described the budgetary process, and projects clearly identified with the funding following the project.

Dave described to the committee that when Victoria describes what budget is available all cost are considered.

The committee thinks that lots more time should be given to scoping and detailing the costs with Ron's help. Todd described that although there is a scope of the project, things can change, and modification can occur.

Anthony feels a sample flow chart of the project process may be helpful.

Dave suggested that decision 'mile stones' could be included in this chart.

### **Vehicle Registration Fee trends and next steps – Stephen Roberts**

Stephen Roberts solicited feedback from the committee and noted that there were a number of money measures on the ballot during this past voting season. Beaverton public levy and VRF were both rejected but had polled 50% favorably on both.

- Some of the lessons learned included that there may need to be more clarity to the public on how money would be used in jurisdictions.
- VRF generated a lot of email and phone calls from the public but needed more public outreach.
- Funding for advertisement was limited, to allow PAC to buy signs and other material to advertise.
- Washington County employees could not have been involved but the commissioners could do advocacy.
- Both measures were well endorsed and lots of lessons learned from this election.
- PAC - work with businesses and big companies that utilize the road and communicate why it would be a good thing to have the VRF.
- Discussion on needing more education to help make the public aware of Washington County being fiscally responsible.
- The Oregon Transportation Forum is working on a legislative proposal that may become a bill. Depending on outcomes, may need to look at the VRF again. Of the County gas tax the majority goes to the city and less to the county vs. the VRF would have the majority of funding going to the county and minority to the city.

- There will be more education and outreach and may gain champions in the community.

### **Miscellaneous**

Customer Service responses to be discussed at the December meeting.

Review membership – Dave Schamp described the URMD membership matrix. Ray moved for new membership applications, Monica Shumaker and Ruth Deal applications be presented and discussed.

Bonnie added that Monica was an advocate with pedestrian and working with the elderly.

Victoria – Ruth has been a public outreach commenter since it started.

No formal vote necessary, Committee had consensus and guided Dave to recommend to the board the applicants presented.

### **December Agenda**

Candidate list and costing – Ron Del Rosario  
(25 candidates – break off will be at candidate 287)

Customer Service Responses – Victoria Saager

URMDAC membership – Dave Schamp

Proposal on street display signs – Mike Mills

Funding Allocation for 2015-2016