

AREA 93 - COMMENT LOG - *updated Sept. 18, 2014*

Date	Source	Topic	Comment
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	I would like to see this get done ASAP. We have been strung along way too long.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	I would think Goal 5 includes concern about pileated woodpeckers. These live in large old fir trees - if too many are removed this part of the wildlife will leave.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	TrafficOutArea93	Concerned that the county is allowing more development in our little valley but some long term planning is not being addressed - such as fixing Saltzman permanently, not just a Band-aid, so all the new traffic can safely flow.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	Scrap the Mult Co. Plan totally. Forget the 300' set back from creek please. Makes no sense.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Low Density, no greater than R-6 was promised in exchange for Area 93 Residents' support to move Area 93 into Washington County. No bait and switch, please!!
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	RdImpr\$	It would be nice to have continuous sidewalks on Thompson & Laidlaw.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	My Mom's house is at 11522 NW Laidlaw. I see a large swath of greenspace on the North side. This is fairly flat land which now supports two houses. I disagree with the Concept Plan designating it as Greenspace. We are losing our families investment in the property if it cannot be better developed.
4/10/2014	Open House	Other	Bike and Pedestrian access use critical. Develop access that keeps it off of Saltzman and Thompson
	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Old Laidlaw Road is unbuildable. Please remove right of way.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	We support low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	We all want to have the opportunity to connect to sewer system. Our septic systems... are very old and subject to failure.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	RdImpr\$	We all want to have the opportunity... to have our gravel road paved.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	I own two acres on Hiller Lane. If the property is developed according to plan. The street will cut my hand in half and the North half will be open space. Not for building, so I'd only get paid for half of my two acres from the realtor.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Parks	THRPD needs to be at these meetings. Their involvement with the parks and the corridor are critical to the development of the area.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	No "bait and switch!" Andy said, "Washington County can't support the high density Multnomah County planned." No high density! The community signed off on Andy Duyck's plan/presentation based on low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	TrafficOutArea93	Saltzman Road from Bayonne to Laidlaw is far below standards for construction traffic or increased residential traffic. It must be moved to align with NW 130th before any development begins in Area 93.
4/10/2014	Open House	MultCoVis	This is the first time I've seen the plan. I don't support the plan.

4/10/2014	Open House	Area93Traffic	Please don't put a road between us and our neighbors.
4/10/2014	Open House	Area93Traffic	Millford Street <u>should not</u> be extended across all of Area 93!!
4/10/2014	Open House	Area93Traffic	Thompson Road should be the main street and if you extend Millford that far it has a big potential to be a through street - impacts safety and visibility.
4/10/2014	Open House	MultCoVis	For planning purposes, extend the plan down to Thompson
4/10/2014	Open House	MultCoVis	Absolutely! (Agreed)
4/10/2014	Open House	Area93Traffic	Side street access such as Millford extension will only encourage unsafe driving to avoid Thompson, which should be the main artery.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Bridge at Marcotte Rd seems unwarranted. Should be further west, say, Multnomah County proposed road west of Marcotte Rd.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommName	Bonny Slope West
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommName	Bonny Slope West
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommName	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bonny Slope
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Whiskey Gulch
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Whiskey Gulch - YES! I own it.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Thompson Law
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Bronson Creek Community
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	CommNam	Hamel Estates or Hiller Estates
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Good concept, needs further refinement
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No-center median would be a waste of space, a lot of extra money for no good reason.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No need for center median
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Yes, with appropriate space for bicycles as well as cars.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Just do wider sidewalks and planting strips w/ trees. No center median. Do a trail head park where it meets the Bronson Creek Corridor
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Yes, I agree, but don't over do it. The entry to Arbor Heights a good example-no parking on normal size streets.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No! To expensive, unneeded, environmentally irresponsible.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Developers want small lots to up \$\$\$ → their pockets
4/10/2014	Open House	BldvSt	No-too much traffic on Thompson already. People use it as shortcut thru Forest Park to Lovejoy. Consider Laidlaw entrance.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No!!! I support this!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	We don't need more "Arbor" Crap! No!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	No center median-stupid waste of our land.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	The entry/exit point to Thompson looks too close to a curve. Suggest moving it east.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Putting it by the curve might be safer (make curve safer) with addition of traffic light.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Agree seems too close to a curve in Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	It destroys two lots which I own. I will not agree to any Boulevard on my property!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BldvSt	Bad design on a curve - Mult Co can and should do better!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes, best way to eliminate curves east end of Laidlaw
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes. ↓ Traffic →Thompson
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No because I live there
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No-the extra cost would be difficult to justify-if fire and police need it, it should
4/15/2012	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	No. Too expensive and impactful. It's not essential infrastructure. Keeping it similar to its existing state provides good traffic calming.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marccte/LaidlwRds	Yes, There is no current plan to reduce traffic impact to Saltzman so some Area 93 should be diverted more directly to Thompson.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No. Too expensive.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes-needed for traffic
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes! New connection needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes - community will otherwise be divided by creek.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes-otherwise it's a long way around.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	NO
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No-too impactful on existing homes on Marcotte.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	We would support provided the road would be (limited?) in width and volume.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Maybe
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes, but no road
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	No-I don't think it will be utilized by the population.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	In the far off-if the THPRD can afford it with funds at hand or developers in the future want to pay for it themselves.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Marcotte/LaidlawRds	Yes, our property is right at the location where it would be built.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Definitely
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Great connectivity and recreation asset, great for kids visiting kids.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Yes-will \$ from joining the park district cover all the park costs propose?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsonCrPedBkXng	Yes - pedestrian/bike - no for cars

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes-three crossings!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Love it!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Ok if farther west.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes-connect to other off road bike routes.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Farther west
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Not sure-it goes over my land. Will THPRD buy it?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Need three pedestrian/bike crossing-see my marks.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Why three? One will suffice.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Location is good, it's central to the neighborhoods, both south and north.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	We need safe streets before we build more creek crossings.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Great use of the existing creek!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	No car crossings, please - Ditto!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Amen, except at Marcotte
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrPedBkXng	Should be one car crossing
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Rdlmpr\$	Pass the cost to developers
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Rdlmpr\$	To make this addition to Wa. Co not harm the rest of the county. The charges above the SDT will need to bring in about \$68 million. These are only 160 acres, much of it can not be developed. The extra costs per developed acre or housing unit will need to be fair more than in North Bethany, right?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Rdlmpr\$	Promises were made in bringing in Area 93 that existing residents of WA. CO. would not subsidize infrastructure in 93. SDCs collect in Area 93 need to pay for entire infrastructure impacts of 93 development. If SDCs in 93 end up higher than SDCs in North Bethany so be it.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Rdlmpr\$	This will impact land values-but why should any owner get \$400-800/acre for land and pass dev. Costs on to others.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	RdImpr\$	We should ignore people who would impose on SDC's out of (spite?) Be honest about costs.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	RdImpr\$	Will developer pay for road improvements?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	RdImpr\$	Developers should bear more costs related to site/road improvements, longer term impact on neighborhoods plus infrastructure.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No, not needed
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No - already an area near Saltzman/Thompson that is not widely supported. Not sure how a second area would do.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Also agree.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Agreed NOT needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No-not really needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No-not really needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No-this already exists @ Saltzman and Thompson. Not needed!!!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Not needed: Ditto to no comment!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Location is good. If its marketable. Keep it small (1/2 ac max).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Already exists at Saltzman plus Thompson will increase traffic flow!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No, no!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No, not needed
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Agree, community center no commercial center.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No! I don't agree its shown on my property.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes, we need a THPRD community recreation center, not a commercial center!!!!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Ditto
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes! Agree
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	How about your own back yard??
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Off of Thompson by the park so it is within the community.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No - not needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Not needed!!!!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Schools act as community gathering areas.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Not really needed there is one right down Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No, there is already a center at Saltzman/Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	At West road.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	If it happens the location shown is best. Its in the busiest place with the most market appeal and accessibility for the neighborhood to south of Area 93.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Not needed at this time there exists one at Thompson plus Saltzman.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Neighborhood Center by Bonny Slope Park or convert Terra Nova HS (it is an under-utilized space!) to a THPRD rec center.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes-agree! (Re: Comment about locating center by Bonny Slope Park or converting Terra Nova HS to THPRD rec center)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Yes! (Re: Comment about locating center by Bonny Slope Park or converting Terra Nova HS to THPRD rec center)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Great idea! (Re: Comment about locating center by Bonny Slope Park or converting Terra Nova HS to THPRD rec center)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Question whether a neighborhood center would be supported.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Why is it needed?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Agreed! (Re: Comment "Why is it needed?")
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	No community center.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Agreed!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	What's the purpose?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Walk/Bike access to existing commercial area at Saltzman and Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Neighborhood Center 1/4 mile west is struggling to keep a café open-three owners in four years-don't need it, not enough demand.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NbrhdCtr	Not needed!!!!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Do not want proposed road to go through existing neighborhood.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Are you extending the Urban Growth Boundary? What's Up?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Should low density (R5 or 6) zoning to transition into surrounding rural area.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density should be extended (more of it).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Need lower density-max lot size of 5000 plus sq. ft.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Lots are wrong - developers will put a 4000 sq. ft. house on these lots. That look out of place.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density-like the surrounding neighborhoods?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	There should be a mix of density. Some multi-family, some low density, some in-between.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density-yes!

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Need R5-even lower density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density only - developers ↑ density- too much demand on local community resources.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	I would support the ENTIRE Area 93 being low density only.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Entire area low density is more congruous with neighboring sites.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density R6/R5.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density only.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Keep it all low.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density, please.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density-keep the big old growth trees!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	R6-Low density-this was promised to Area 93 residents in exchange for our support to change from Multnomah County to Washington County.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Please keep it all low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Entire area except that fronting Thompson should be low density. No medium or high density along Laidlaw.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-entire area north of Ward Creek should be zoned with WA CO R 2-4 zoning.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density please.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Keep low density
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Agree!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No multi-family!!! Please keep density low like other adjacent areas.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low low keep it low.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	LOW DENSITY!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	LOW Density!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	R4! and no more
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	We all agreed to low density. NO BAIT and SWITCH!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	R 7 throughout is preferred.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-should be low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-med-high density makes sense if folks use public transportation, walk to retail centers, etc. Doesn't fit with WA County-seems a Multnomah Co. agenda.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density only.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-Row houses are ugly
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Med density should be the absolute max density within area where are the resources (i.e. schools) to support this number of homes??
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No! My property is zoned for med density-No!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Agree (Re: Comment that med density should be the absolute max density within the area)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-should be low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-schools, library, law enforcement services-insufficient. Low Density- Preserve quality of life.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-the schools plus roads can't handle med density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low-density!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Keep R4 as max density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Keep plan "as is."
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-Low density only!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-too high of density. This high of density was not mentioned when Area 93 was being first discussed to become Washington County.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Yes-It's needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-Low density needs extension.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-was not planned before.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Yes, this should be a diverse community-economically as well as ethnically.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No! Low density only! Don't let MAX/TriMet force adding high density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Please No!! Schools our roads can't handle Med/High density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-Low Density only!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No-no townhouses/condos.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Great need for nice homes on spacious lots.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Overall density needs to be reduced.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	Millford St should not be extended throughout the entire Development.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	Is Millford St designed for more traffic.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density only.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	Millford St. should not be extended throughout the entire development.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Locating higher density near bus stops is a good idea.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Yes! (Re: Comment that locating higher density near bus stops is a good idea)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	In no way should Millford St be a straight shot through the development, this would be very dangerous traffic for existing plus new homes.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Less area designated as Open Space and more available for development.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	My house - it located in a "park" designation. I don't want to live in a park.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	More R6. Too many zones make it disjointed. It's not that big of an area.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	For the amount of useable land in Area 93 it should all be R5-R6 only.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Density should match surrounding area.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Agree! (Re: Comment that density should match surrounding area)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	R5 (low density) throughout the area.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	How about some R2-4?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Yes! (Re: Comment on how about some R2-4)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	North dev density is different in south due to topography.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	No high density development-it does not match any of the neighborhoods around area 93. Also, there are not enough green areas as it is. Will negatively increase traffic on Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Agree! (Re: Comment on no high density development)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	We would like 1/2 acre to 1 acre lots.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Sidewalks to Findley would be nice.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	More parks and walking trails.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	To conform to existing new homes in Area 93. Lower density is better.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Park!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	More space around creeks!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Trails plus sidewalks.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	When land is developed, density and variety are needed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	When County officials & Metro first talked about this they said it should be low density and that was appealing to residents.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density, preserve green space, creatively add bike/walking trails. Enhance the area. don't degrade it by high density homes on a "postage stamp."
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Low density-keep high density downtown.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Nike and Kaiser are expanding-lots of interest in \$500 plus single family homes.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Greenspace, not ball park or other field (noise), use at THPRD Terpenning Center.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Lower density=Nicer houses=more taxes=more \$ to fix Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Keep the creek buffered > 600 ft. / low density!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Have 50-60 ft creek buffer 600 ft insane!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Generally good idea and location.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Support. Increased access for bicycles using <u>safe trails</u> should be a priority for this community due to the large concentration of families with small children.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes - linking trails across WA County good, healthful living for families w/o additional noise plus traffic (cars).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Only if trails can be maintained-who will do this?

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes! Best location.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Trail on north side of creek.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes - As many trails as possible.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes, great location.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes, wife loves to walk.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Yes - keep it near the stream. Pedestrian and bike crossing is good.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Side streets should be wide enough for bicycling to the path in a safe manner.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Trail - good idea, but North side of creek is more flat (20% plus grade on S. side).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Trails	Bike lanes and walking path the length of Laidlaw - not in chopped up pieces as developments go in.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Yes - But be much more strategic about where. Do less frontage but add a nice linear park / trail improvement.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No - It is a waste of valuable land to owners.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No - Too expensive to build roads traversing on relatively steep side slopes with houses on only one side. Houses along creek enable more privacy and natural area ambiance. Have frequent gaps between houses to enable public access.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	I like the idea - not sure about the expense.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Yes - I like the Drake Park idea. It need not be continuous, however.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No. Too Expensive
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No -The idea is too expensive. The roads there will also be environmentally unfriendly to the creek, and aren't needed for traffic.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No - Too expensive.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Road in Corridor will be difficult to build. Leave some access between houses for park (open space owned by Tualatin Hills Parks & Recreation).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Add trails and access to the creek.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	BronsnCrVis/Acc	Keep park idea.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - Three acre park south of creek near Thompson Rd
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	No reason for this connecting road to exist!! (Re: Proposed extension of Milford Road on Multnomah County plan)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes-but save the old house somehow. (Re: THPRD's undeveloped park at intersection of Thompson and Saltzman roads.)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	No need for this connecting segment of road!! (Re: Proposed extension of Milford Road on the Multnomah County plan.)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No-lots of nearby parks not yet developed, the creek will require open space instead develop parks THPRD already has.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No! There are many other planned park spaces in nearby areas.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Possibly depends on if there are already houses there.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No?/Yes? How many other parks are in the area?? Or are to be developed??
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Maybe - better location buffered by greenspace and away from high traffic road (Thompson).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Connect the South Park to the Bronson Creek greenway. Don't cut it off (as show by plan) with street. It should "flow" into the open space network and be connected.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes, with trail access!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No need for park.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	We are good as is.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Not necessarily- doesn't THPRD already own land on Western border of Area 93?
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes, but integrate park with stream corridor - the entire stream is a virtual park that Tualatin Hills should buy and oversee.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes-there are no other parks in that area (near my property).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Smaller park areas on creek use Bailes/Findley Pond of big natural area. Creek and banks and x number of feet of banks will be protected but maybe too steep to use as a park in much of the proposed park area.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - Next to Thompson is a bad location.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - too close to Thompson and Bonny slope Park.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - Park should be on Bronson Creek.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - Too close to existing homes, increase noise and traffic/possible ↑ crime/homeless camps.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No, better place for homes.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No should be homes there.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - on busy road.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - We live in the area designated for a park. Don't want picnics happening in our backyard - or front yard.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - Thompson is too busy.

4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Yes - If you pay market value previously established in past tax years.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No - there is already a park near there.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No-Impedes private property.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Have a pocket park with basketball area and skate park for diversity of what available north of freeway and dirt bike trail.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	No! It's on my property and this is the first I've heard of it.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Maybe - Adjacent to planned greenspace is better.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Ok if THPRD pays market value.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Parks	Should be another South Park that is west of current proposed.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	RdImpr\$	Sidewalks.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Other	I live on Thompson Rd, plan to stay in my house, concerned how it would impact our home and us.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Other	Sewer in Creek area? -CWS-
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Other	Planning taking a long time.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Other	Good start. I'm assuming the translation plan will include site plan refinement tempered by public and property owner input.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Other	Get this done in quick and efficient way.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	On Thompson - problematic curve - unsafe!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	MultCoVis	Scrap the Mult. County plan!!!
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	MultCoVis	I agree. (Re: Scrap the Multnomah County plan)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	MultCoVis	Yes. (Re: Scrap the Multnomah County plan)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	MultCoVis	Yes! I agree on Laidlaw - in 93. (Re: Scrap the Multnomah County plan)
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Start with medium / low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Live at corner NW 120th and Thompson. Eliminate high density - ↑ traffic and ↓ quality of life.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	Please re-do plan with no high density. This is not the plan that we were hoping for.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	NatResArea93	Keep large trees and natural areas around creeks.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	MultCoVis	Scrap Mult. Co plan make it all low density.
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93ResDesig	LOW DENSITY
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	RdImpr\$	Sidewalks
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	Do not extend Millford beyond 120th Avenue (Road G).
4/10/2014	Open House Poster	Area93Traffic	No Road "G!"
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	North side is different than the South side. Keep density lower in North. It is due to the forest area North of Laidlaw.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NbrhdCtr	Please do not build a business center. There already is one at Saltzman and Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Low density only, please. No apartments, please.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Please do not build all of little roads. It chops up the beautiful land.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	Please protect the creek.

4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	When the process started the enticement by the politicians to get neighbors to support the boundary change was for a lower density development and that's what most, if not all of us signed-up for. Ideally an R 5 or 6 zone over the entire area, with parks and trails mixed in (no commercial) would make this a beautiful neighborhood that we could all be proud of.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	Received a letter with idea of including property as part of Area 93 planning. She's concerned about that idea, because she wants to stay in place, and doesn't see the benefit of being included in Area 93. She's concerned about the potential for a road to be directly behind her property.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NatResArea93	Make this a model for sustainable development. This area is too special to clear out and make into a treeless, 5' side setback neighborhood.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	RdImpR\$	Infrastructure cost for Laidlaw, Thompson and Marcotte as well as all internal infrastructure must be paid for by land-owners/developers. Land price will just need to be lower.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	Extend the planning area down to Thompson.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Don't put Millford through.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Trails	Add walking / bike trails.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Keep low density. Quality of life-keep it.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	Extend planning area down to Thompson to eliminate orphan lots and enable improving Thompson Road.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Parks	No parks.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	R 5 or 6.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NbrhdCtr	No com. property.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	We were promised the lowest density available at the public meeting to be brought into Washington County. Please live up to that.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Density to match surrounding neighborhoods R-5 and R-6.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Millford not to punch through as main arterial.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	BronsnCrVis/Acc	No creekside boulevards, too expensive, environmentally and geotechnically irresponsible.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	BronsnCrPedBkXing	No creek crossing into road except at Marcotte.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Keep density-down! Six to eight units per acre.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	All the new land surfaces added Area 93 will increase runoff down to Salzman Road and the small culvert- has this been thought about in the early conceptual studies?
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Community should be planned in keeping with density in Thompson. Park and Thompson Highlands. Too crowded to support high density. Roads and schools can't take it. Too far from light rail to support high density.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Impact on Millford Road family/residential street unfair. Plan should not "sandwich" homes on Millford will have Thompson as a major road behind and Millford will become a major traffic arterial into Area 93
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	MultCoVis	What land has already been sold to Arbor? What is their influence on this process. Please protect those of us who do <u>not</u> want the Multnomah County plan.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Please preserve the lower density of Area 93. The public schools and roads cannot handle medium to m/high density. People live in Area 93 because we love the trees, creek our open space. Please don't ruin the beauty our livability of this area.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	No high density?
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NbrhdCtr	No Commercial land.

4/10/2014	Open House comment Card	Parks	No parks near main road.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	I am disappointed by the high density proposals. I didn't move here 15 plus years ago to be next to apartments.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Other	
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	Density - R 6 should be the predominant density/development pattern for the study area. It fits the surrounding area and site conditions. Too many densities would feel disjointed.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93Traffic	Streets - the block pattern needs to be adjusted to an approximate 200 ft x whatever grid works well.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Parks	Parks - move the street along the north edge of the Rectangular park to the South edge so the park is connected to the open space system.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Trails	The trails are great.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	BronsnCrPedBkXing	The pedestrian bridge/trail linking South to North neighborhoods works well.
4/10/2004	Open House Comment Card	Parks	I would add a small trail head park on the South end of the bridge/trail to counter balance the park on the Northern side.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	BronsnCrVis/Acc	There's too much road fronting the open space corridor. I would do less and develop a linear park/trail along the edge. I would not eliminate it just a more strategic moderate amount. It's an asset.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	NbrhdCtr	The mixed use node at the entry on Thompson is a good idea. Don't oversize it. A smaller scale facility would work best.
4/10/2014	Open House Comment Card	Area93ResDesig	I think all areas should be low-density and estate sized properties. Retain the "country" to the area.
4/11/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	We have lived in Area 93 since 2009. During the meetings to bring us into Washington County, Commissioners Duyck and Malinowski gave us their word that we would have the lowest density possible. However, after talking with Commission Malinowski last night, he made it sound like there are competing interests. I would like to know what I can do to ensure that we have R-5 zoning. Can you give me some suggestions?
4/15/2014	E-mail	Marcotte/LaidlwRds	We talked at the open house for Area 93 last week. We are in the process of purchasing the land between Marcotte and Laidlaw (tax ID 1N122DC02300 and 1N122DC02200). Currently there is a 30 ft setback from the right of way for a theoretical future connection of Marcotte. During the open house though the connection was shown to the west of the property. If you decide to not make this connection or to move it to the west would you consider selling this right of way area? Because of the creek it would make the buildable area much greater.
7/24/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	I live on Hibbard Drive just off of Thompson Road across from the area 93 future development. I would like you to two things when developing area 93. First, this area is where many high paid high tech employees want to live and there is a lack of new homes with larger lot sizes. Findley elementary gets rated one of the highest schools in the state, but the lot sizes are so small in this area it turns many families away. So many arbor homes in the community are on small lots, and there is a great demand for more space for homes in this area. A summary, please consider a lower density for housing, larger lot sizes are in high demand.

7/24/2014	E-mail	TrafficOutArea93	Please also consider widening Thompson Road to include a turn lane, bike lanes, and sidewalks on Thompson Road from Bonny Slope Park to Findley Elementary. Our children walk to Findley elementary school and there is only about 100 yards of sidewalk needed for them to walk safely on Thompson Road to school. Bonny Slope is less than a mile away, yet we can't walk there with the high speed cars drive and no sidewalks. Cars go 45 miles an hour there and with more homes developed, it will only get more dangerous for the children and bikers. There are also many, many bikers on Thompson (they bike up to Forest Heights and Skyline) and it is very dangerous to pass the bikers on Thompson Rd. since the road is windy and cars can't see oncoming traffic around the corners. Thompson Rd. desperately needs a turn lane, bike lanes, and sidewalks to the schools from the neighborhoods.
7/28/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Prefer low-density housing - otherwise conflicts with what else is built around there. Where are these kids going to go to school as it is? Findley, Stoller, and Jacob Wismer are already overfilled. More sidewalks and greenway space, less housing! No commercial buildings. We don't need another dry cleaner or coffee shop. We've already got one at the corner of Thompson and Saltzman and the coffee shop has gone through two owners. There's not enough business to sustain one. If we add more housing, maybe we can get the Tazza Cafe location rented one more but don't bring in duplicates with what's only a quarter mile down the road.
7/28/2014	E-mail	Parks	Yes to a park. We don't have one in this area; the nearest is the Bonnyslope park which is a long walk with intermittent sidewalks.
8/1/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Our parcel is south of Thompson Road next to the water tank by wooded lots with restrictive easements of two adjacent homes. The 2009 Multnomah County plan shows it as open space but they never discussed this with us. We intend the develop the property once zoning is decided.
8/1/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	The property across Thompson Road is being considered for Medium High and Medium Residential zoning. Our property is buffered from the existing two houses to the east by deep wood lots/slope easements and the water tank to the south. We'd like our property at a minimum consistent with the zoning proposed across the street. Because our lot is isolated and the traffic on Thompson Road, it could lend itself for even higher density such as attached or multifamily housing. We object to an open space designation and propose at least medium/medium high density zoning similar to those in the Forest Heights neighborhoods. This could be an opportunity for Washington County to replace some density lost to natural resource areas in the greater part of Area 93.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	There are lots of high density Arbor Neighborhoods already in the area. People want larger lots, in this area, not more homes on top of each other. We'd also like sidewalks and bike lanes - Thompson (between Findley Elementary and Bonny Slope Park) is dangerous with cars going 45 miles per hour and no sidewalks and curvy roads for bikers and cars trying to pass.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	We live in the adjacent neighborhood and area concerned about the increased impact to the neighborhood schools and also the traffic impact to our neighborhoods.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	A commercial center in this area will be too small to support the needs of high density housing -- there is not room for another Bethany Village. Because of this, high density housing is a bad idea. It will just contribute to road congestion and add to safety concerns for the children who walk to the nearby elementary school.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93Traffic	Also, the citing of the entrance at Hibbard would be too close to the curve and making turns onto the road would be dangerous.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	My child's classroom is already too crowded.

9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	The infrastructure in the area cannot withstand higher density housing. Our schools are already overcrowded and roads are too busy. The curve near Hibbard on Thompson is dangerous to cyclists now and dangerous for everyone during icy weather. This is a particularly bad place to add more cars onto the roadway or have them stopping to turn.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Findley Scool is too crowded already!
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	I'm concerned about overcrowding in schools
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Schools are overcrowded.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	My children's schools Stoller & Jacob Wismer are severely over crowded!
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	I am a resident of the Bethany area and am seriously concerned with the unchecked development that is occurring in the area particularly without regard to the impact on the area schools or infrastructure (roads)
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Apartments do not blend with other low density areas in the vicinity and increased traffic on roads not designed for it. There are not sidewalks or turn lanes on Thompson.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	This area needs to be developed and improved in a responsible way. High density hosing is not what will benefit this community with the hilly terrain, winding roads, and crowded schools. Early in the process, political advocates for developing this area (Andy Dyke and Mitch Greenlick) gained support for the improvements based on low density development. No bait and switch! All residents of the area should benefit from the improvements (sewer, water, sidewalks) - not just big builders that have purchased the land from investors.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Area 93 needs to conform to adjacent neighborhoods. And roads and schools aren't made for high density.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Because our schools are crowded and limited growth will increase property values. Too much traffic requiring changes in current infrastructure.
9/18/2014	E-mail	Area93ResDesig	Development of Area 93 needs to be in line with surrounding neighborhoods in terms of density, and with consideration (as others have notes) for already severely overcrowded schools. Also the current proposal will create several "shortcuts" through residential neighborhoods for commuters seeking to avoid traffic congestion around the Saltzman/Thompson intersection, potentially leading to dangerous speeding through quiet neighborhoods with kids.