



Area 93

Technical Advisory Committee

8:30 a.m. - 10:30 a.m. Monday, August 25, 2014

Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue Station #65

3425 SW 103rd Avenue. Beaverton, OR 97005

MEETING SUMMARY

Members and alternates present:

Damon Reische, Clean Water Services
Steve Kelley, Washington County LRP - Transportation
Lindsey Nesbitt, Multnomah County

Jennifer Garland , Beaverton School District
Stu Davis, TVWD
Victoria Saager, Washington County DLUT Operations
Greg Weisgerber, TVF&R

Members absent:

Tom Armstrong, City of Portland
Robert Saxton, Washington County DLUT Engineering
Aisha Willits, THPRD

Anne Debbaut, DLCD
Tim O'Brien, Metro
Deric Weiss, TVF&R

Public present:

None

Staff/Consultants present:

Theresa Cherniak, Washington County LRP
Marcy McInelly, Urbsworks Inc

Suzanne Savin, Washington County LRP
Traci Shirley, Washington County LRP
Joseph Readdy, Urbsworks Inc.

1. Meeting Purpose:

- Continue the internal Washington County agency and TAC design workshop process, for Area 93

2. Welcome and introduction - Suzanne Savin

Introduction:

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) members and staff introduced themselves.

Review agenda:

Suzanne Savin reviewed the items on the agenda. She noted that since the August 11, 2014 TAC meeting, staff has started draft alternatives discussions.

Meeting Summary

The June 9, 2014 meeting summary included changes suggested by Beaverton School District. The TAC approved the meeting summary with the changes.

3. Review information provided by Washington County consultants - Suzanne Savin, Washington County and Marcy McInelly and Joseph Readdy, Urbsworks

Ms. Savin noted that on August 22, 2014, the project team met with consultants assisting with gathering information on Area 93's physical conditions: Pacific Habitat Services (PHS), the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI), and a civil engineer from TY Lin. The consultants presented draft information about Area 93.

- PHS discussed a draft of the riparian habitat and wetland inventory, based on recent site visits. The information will be vetted with Clean Water Services (CWS) and the Department of State Lands (DSL).
- DOGAMI staff discussed the landslide map for Linton Quadrangle, and an overview of landslide considerations. There are two past landslide areas in Area 93: one large area northwest of the creek and a smaller area on the southwest side of the creek. DOGAMI discussed factors to minimize the likelihood of future landslides in these areas.
- The TY Lin civil engineer discussed potential creek crossings with project staff. The bicycle/pedestrian bridge in the Multnomah County Plan is located on one of the wider crossing locations of the creek. A narrower crossing, located to the northwest, is also being evaluated. Preliminary cost estimates show a higher cost for the Multnomah County option. The grades at the narrower northwest crossing location could allow the crossing to accommodate a sanitary sewer line. The TY Lin engineer also discussed three possible options for an auto crossing at Marcotte Road with project team staff.

4. Review and Discuss Preliminary Draft Alternatives - Suzanne Savin, Washington County and Marcy McInelly and Joseph Readdy, Urbsworks

Ms. Savin introduced Marcy McInelly and Joseph Readdy from Urbsworks and reminded the TAC that Urbsworks would be helping with internal charrette work for Area 93.

Ms. McInelly explained that as the preliminary draft alternatives are developed, some attributes will be common to all three alternatives. Parts of the Multnomah County plan that had positive support at the April open house will be incorporated into the draft alternatives and include: develop the community as a model of sustainability, multi-modal transportation options, and managing storm water through "green" street methods.

Topic Areas for Draft Alternatives:

- *Creek Crossings*
- *Multi-modal Transportation*
- *Land Use Housing Types*
- *Natural Resources and Landslide Hazards*
- *Parks and Open Spaces*

Ms. McInelly reviewed three potential alternatives and discussed how the address of topic areas could differ between alternatives. For example, the location of the creek crossing could be in the west, center, or east, and could be for bicycle/pedestrian or auto use.

TAC members discussed how the street system could be a work in progress and fill in as development occurred. The collector (Laidlaw Road) and Arterial (Thompson Road) already exist. Developers could build local and neighborhood streets that connect to the collector and arterial roads in Area 93 through the development process.

TAC members asked whether the Thompson Road properties would be included in the Area 93 plan. Based on staff's meeting with Thompson Road property owners on July 9, 2014, the owners have questions on how the plan will affect their properties, if Thompson Road will be improved sooner with development in Area 93 and how that will affect them, and if they would have an opportunity to be added to the sanitary infrastructure.

Ms. McInelly noted that after the TAC and the public review the alternatives, the preferred elements of the alternatives would be combined to make the final alternative that moves forward.

Before the next TAC meeting, internal staff and identified TAC members will participate in small group charrettes.

5. Update on Overall Project Schedule –Public Comment - Suzanne Savin

There were no comments from the public in attendance.

Ms. Savin noted the next TAC meeting was scheduled for September 22, 2014.

No	Action Item	Responsible	Due date	Closed
1	Post June 9, 2014 final meeting summary to project site.	Staff	09/17/14	√
2	Post August 11, 2014 draft meeting summary to project site	Staff	9/17/14	√
3	Participate in Area 93 charrettes	Level 1 TAC	9/17/14	√

Summary prepared by Traci Shirley