

Number of Surveys Returned	Response Source Online Mail Other	Let's start with some context: Select all that apply:					Desired outcomes for the community plan. This area is within the Urban Growth Boundary and change through urban development will occur over time. The community plan intends to provide guidance to that development. As you consider the area today, what should be preserved, protected, and enhanced?	As development occurs what kind of changes would you like to see? Are there services or amenities that would be useful to you and your family? (New services could include water, sewer, street and trail connections, schools, or parks.)	Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?	Defining success in the community plan. Imagine you've returned to this area after being away for twenty years and you find the neighborhood is better than ever. Please describe what as remained the same.	Please describe the changes that you appreciate.	Are there other ways you would define a successful outcome? Please describe.	Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?
		I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area	I rent a house in the study area	Other (please specify)	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
1													
2	Online	I own property in the study area		I own a house in the study area			Protect the larger trees where possible. Also, the area nearby the creek (maybe about 50-75 feet on each side of the creek)	1) Sewer; 2) Trails along the creek--this could be a good recreation area for nearby families. But it could also be a hideout for youth to do drugs and carry out other undesirable behaviors. We don't want another Bonny Slope of yesteryear. 3) Using Findley or Bonny Slope School as a community center (Findley is closest)		Family friendly neighborhood and good feeling community. Sidewalks, other places where children can safely ride bicycles and play with other children in the neighborhood.	Environmentally-sensitive development. Promote a safe sense of community.		
3	Online			I own a house in the study area			I would like to see the creek preserved and pedestrian access enhanced.	Number one priority, bring in sewer line to the entire area so that development can begin on the east and north sides of area 93. I would also like to see walking access to Findley on the north end of Saltzman and from area 93 enhanced to ensure kids are not walking to school in areas without sidewalks and on busy streets.		Individual dwellings owned by people who take pride in their homes. The area has lots of trees and green space. Carefully placed retail for businesses with a small footprint.	I would like to see current land owners be given a great deal of input into zoning and housing density. I personally would like to see no more than an R6 development standard in this area.	I would rather see it take more time to get the right plan in place rather than rush an outcome to meet an arbitrary timeline.	
4	Online	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			Nothing that is not required by law.	Water, sewers and streets. Schools are in close proximity. A park and trail connections may be a good use for land along the creek; but to Tualatin Hills Parks are nearby.		A rural area is being converted to a housing development. I would not expect much of anything to remain the same.	Hopefully, I would see a well planned area for family living with shuttle or bus services to the Max line and surrounding areas.	I respectfully question how relevant these questions can be to the planning process.	
5	Online	I own property in the study area					Trees and natural areas. Vegetation and habitat around stream. No path along stream. Preserve peacefulness of area. Old Laidlaw should not be a through road.	Bike paths, wider roads, sewer, community pool and park. We want to keep our current home as long as we like, be able to sell parts of our property as we desire and/or keep any portion of our property (such as one or two acres) and be able to build a new home without having to be part of the density equation.	This area should not have any retail or commercial buildings, apartments or condos. This area should have homes on larger parcels of land, quarter or half acre lots.	There are still trees (especially old growth) and wild animals and natural habitat along the stream.	Better roads, bike/pedestrian paths, safe movement throughout the area and peace and quiet.	Do all of the above.	We are going to be gone the week you indicated someone could come visit us. We would still like to be able to take you up on this. We will be home the next week and available.
6	Online	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			About 40 acres of forested land East of NW 124th Av, not yet in UGB, owned by Cabdebo, could be acquired. Those dense trees make the air fresher and help regulate extreme temps. Once that resource is gone, it cannot be replaced.	Public/private transportation using vans, not full-sized busses, to get to Max station and other public transports. Reservations and reasonable fees should make it sustainable.	Many "deadlines" passed without action by Mult. Co from 2002 until WA County absorbed us this year. WE hope you will honor the promised planning schedules.	Lovely Bethany Blv with canopies of healthy trees and sidewalks still set back from traffic. Shopping areas have been contained (as now) and quality held high.	Pretending to be in the future, I hope to see enhanced traffic flow on a minimum of roadways, protecting quiet residential areas. Some cities are aiming for enough open green areas (& parks) that such would be available within easy walking distance from every homes.	Mixed income housing. Safe bike lanes all the way into the city of Portland.	Multiple surveys are often meant to keep the natives from becoming restless. Imagination is fine for some things, but in this instance, simple meaty questions would be more effective.
7	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			An environment for existing birds, deer, coyote, and other animals. Coyotes already a problem for adjacent Forest Heights, etc.	The stream or creek area should include wild as well as park. Already have TVWD water and sewer should now be available at Thompson/118th since Thompson/Laidlaw provide access why put through road in the circle of Area 93?		The larger lots for homes so children can climb trees, build tree houses, but paved road/sidewalks. 1/3 acre min lots for at least some of the plan.	Paved roads, sidewalks, sufficient roads for transportation before crowding hundreds more homes and cars where peak hours already a problem	Consider some folks like close access to the city but yet have room at their homes to park and have company who can also park near your home. Kids don't play in the street. Having a block of swings, etc... or area for kids every 25 homes as parents don't watch them and leave them rather than using a park a quarter mile away. No apartments in this small area to begin with otherwise it is ruined. Planning to pack in the most is a misuse of planning.	
8	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			We have sold 12227 to Arbor. Please don't screw them as Multnomah County did.			NW Hiller Ln			
9	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			We have sold 12121 to Arbor. Please let them proceed as they have at their other developments.			NW Hiller Ln			
10	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area			We have sold 12025 to Arbor, let them proceed			NW Hiller Ln			
11	Mail	I own property in the study area		I own a house in the study area			It would be nice to incorporate the creek area into a neighborhood park. Hopefully, THPRD could come up with finding to purchase to land from Mr. Crawford at a fair price. With the adjacent land that they already own it could really develop into a wonderful park over time.	See #2 - Service will come with the development and there are schools nearby	I'm curious how the zoning/density talks are going with LCDC. We were led to believe that the zoning for that area would be R5-R6, which feels very appropriate for the area.	A nice medium density neighborhood surrounding a beautiful park featuring the creek canyon.			
12	Mail	I own property in the study area		I own a house in the study area			Preserve existing parks. Preserve existing older neighborhoods by keeping Thompson Road to a two-lane road and lowering the speed limit.	Sewer, Fiber - appropriate sidewalks from all housing developments which the means families w/young children are dumped onto the busy road to get to the park.		Hopefully, the country atmosphere single dwelling larger lot homes with hard working people raising families	Sidewalks, ease of more neighborhood interaction. More bicycle hiking jogging trails.	Peacefulness of area remains.	Hopefully retail/commercial can be limited.

13	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area		I'm a telecommuter worker	Creeks, trees	Safe streets, walkways, separate bike paths, areas of gathering that are safe for our kids and busses, sewer/drainage system on side roads	Visible side street road signs off Thompson Road	Peaceful neighborhoods	Safety issues addressed for kids and roads improved!	Utilize our tax money to actually be spent on the infrastructure which it was designated! Stop the BS spending and concentrate on the basic necessities	
14	Mail	I own property in the study area				I live in Boise, Idaho and intend on selling this property.							
15	Mail	I own property in the study area		I own a house in the study area			The large trees and rambling terrain need to be preserved. Need to protect Bronson Creek and enhance its availability to the neighborhood. <u>The roads need</u> to be improved. Bike lanes / pedestrian lanes.	Water and sewer! Connectivity of NE park to a path system that would follow the creek.	Allow people who own the property that is on slopes to be able to develop large lots with very few houses - which would preserve the feeling of this community.	Big trees, no houses close to the major roads, the creek, the wildlife	Wider streets, access to water, sewer, not having to pay for private garbage pickup. Easier access out of my driveway. Walking path for my kids to get to school.	Low density housing - that keeps the open feel of the area. Keeping all of the old growth timber. Allowing land owners to be involved with the planning process - and not making land owners conform to big money developers.	We hope that the streets are improved and the dangerous curves taken out. Want to see a small setback from the creeks 30'-50' at best.
16	Mail	I own property in the study area	I reside in the study area	I own a house in the study area				Sewer	That development density does not allow for the topography and uniqueness of the area -- livability, not creating the slum of the future.	There won't be anything with development in this area that will be recognizable.	That property owners are now free to have the choice of disposing of or not retaining their property according to their needs.		