SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES QUARTERLY REPORT

SUBMITTED BY (COUNTY): WASHINGTON COUNTY

FISCAL YEAR: FY 22/23

QUARTER: SECOND

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES

QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE DRAFT

The following information should be submitted **45 calendar days after the end of each quarter**, per IGA requirements. When that day falls on a weekend, reports are due the following Monday.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Report Due	Nov 15	Feb 15	May 15	Aug 15
Reporting Period	Jul 1 – Sep 30	Oct 1 – Dec 31	Jan 1 – Mar 31	Apr 1 – Jun 30

Please do not change the formatting of margins, fonts, alignment, or section titles.

Section 1. Progress narrative

In no more than 3-5 pages, please tell us about your investments and programming during the reporting period, focusing on at least one of the following topics per quarter: racial equity, capacity building, regional coordination and behavioral health, new investments, leverage, service systems coordination or any other topic connected to your local implementation plan Please also provide updates and information (including numbers or data) to demonstrate progress towards your work plan goals. Note that each topic/work plan goal must be covered in at least one quarterly report during the year.

[Example, if you set an annual goal to increase culturally specific provider organizations by 15%, please tell us by quarter 2 how much progress you've made towards that goal (e.g. 5%)]

Please also address these areas in **each quarter's** narrative.

- Overall challenges and barriers to implementation
- Opportunities in this quarter (e.g. promising findings in a pilot)
- Success in this quarter (e.g. one story that can represent overall success in this quarter)
- Emerging challenges and opportunities with service providers

Quarter Two Summary:

At the mid-way point in the program year, the Supportive Housing Services programs are on track to achieve annual goals set for the year. The program is at the halfway mark for the permanent supportive housing (PSH) placement goal of 500 placements with 124 households placed into PSH this quarter and 348 this year. Additionally, the new Rapid Rehousing program has achieved 76 placements this quarter and 82 households placed this year, hopeful towards our goal of 400 placements as this program continues to gain traction. Through SHS funding, the Division has also added 70 year-round shelter beds this quarter (for a total of 170 new year-round shelter beds funded with SHS). The program is just five beds away from achieving the program Year 2 goal of adding 75 new year-round shelter beds, and on track to surpass this goal with new shelter plans in the works.

The second year of programming remains focused on training, technical assistance, and capacity building for the network of more than 20 service providers in the program. New staff at the County, along with new staff with our partners, and new programs and procedures for all to learn has required a continued focus on teaching at every level of programmatic work, from the principles of case management to invoice processing. To aid the programs and organizational partners, the County will release technical assistance and capacity building grant funding opportunities for all contracted venders through the Homeless Services Division in the third quarter.

The program has received \$29 million in grant revenues during this program year and carried forward \$47 million in unspent funds from the first program year. In the second quarter expenditures increased, as forecasted, to 16% of the budget, reaching nearly \$13 million for this year so far. The rate of expenditure is projected and on track to increase throughout the program year as our partners hire on additional staff and are able to serve and house more clients. The program is forecasted to expend 75% of its \$50.5 million program budget in Year 2 providing direct homeless services and is working towards several potential capital investments for Permanent Supportive Housing and Shelter programs to utilize the unspent prior-year funds remaining. These major one-time investments will likely be allocated and obligated during the second year of programming, but not appear in actual expenditures until program Year 3 or 4.

The second quarter of program updates includes significant progress with regional governance work including the first annual report to the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC)which was well received. The ROC will be presenting the first-year findings and recommendations to the three County Boards in the Spring. Development of the Tri-County Planning Body (TCPB) work continues to advance towards a clear work plan of regional strategies, currently this work includes issues of pay equity and workforce development, landlord support and recruitment tools, and data and coordinated entry regionalization.

In addition to the regional governance work, the Division is also supporting a transformation of Washington County community governance structures that advise and oversee our Homeless Services work. At this time, there are three separate bodies that are not aligned or coordinate in their roles, scope, or authority. To support a more coherent, accessible, and effective community governance structure, the three bodies have sent representatives to a Transition Advisory Group to aid staff in a multi-month One Governance process that will align HUD funded CoC work with Metro funded SHS work, under one consistent community advisory structure. When this process concludes with a formal restructure recommendation, the TAG along with staff, will bring a recommendation forward for the Board's consideration.

1. Shelter Programs

In the second quarter of the second SHS program year, the Homeless Services Division significantly expanded shelter capacity. Two sites were opened that will offer new year-round shelter capacity, and the winter shelter season opened early on November 1st with a commitment to extend through May 31st this year. In addition, the shelter program launched a streamlined referral process to make it easier for people seeking shelter to access available space, and more equitable for people waiting for shelter availability.

Family Promise of Tualatin Valley Family Bridge – 40 additional shelter units

- Opened October 1st as a year-round family shelter program in a SE Washington County motel.
- Is serving 40 families and adults waiting for permanent supportive housing placements at a motel-based shelter program.
- opportunity to secure a permanent motel shelter site. The program goal is to transition and expand the current program to the future site once secured by Family Promise of Tualatin Valley through the Oregon Community Foundation Project Turnkey program

Safer Rest Pods on 17th – 30 additional shelter units

- 30 Conestoga Huts that provide a private shelter setting for up to 40 people (singles and individuals) operated by Open Door.
- A partnership with City of Hillsboro at their future permanent shelter site. A temporary program that will relocate to another site before construction begins in summer 2023.

Winter Shelter – 150 winter-only shelter beds

- Opened November 1st through May 31st, extended season made possible through funding and building availability.
- 80 congregate beds at two locations- Hillsboro Clover Leaf and Beaverton Community Center
- 65 non-congregate units (dispersed motel units) and 5 youth spaces

In summary, the shelter capacity added to the Washington County emergency response system has continued to increase due to available funding from SHS revenue, and new partnership with community-based partners and city jurisdictions. Halfway into the second program year we have increased capacity for year-round shelter space with 70 beds, growing the total year-round capacity to 170 beds funded with SHS. The total Washington County Shelter system capacity includes:

- 1. 170 year-round beds funded with SHS revenue
- 2. 47 year-round beds funded with other sources
- 3. 150 winter-only beds funded with SHS revenue
- 4. Total shelter capacity through May: 367 shelter beds

As a reminder, the overall SHS goal is to achieve 250 additional year-round shelter beds. To support this long-term goal and aid our community based and city jurisdiction partners in advancing shelter capacity throughout Washington County, the Homeless Services Division released a capital funding opportunity for new and existing shelter sites that require capital needs to open or continue to operate. Eight applications were received and are being reviewed to assess for program alignment and project readiness. More than \$10 million in one-time capital funding is anticipated to be invested in as many as

300 permanent shelter beds, helping to ensure that the County reaches our goal for a permanent shelter system that can meet the diverse and emergency needs of unsheltered residents in our County.

2. Outreach Programs

The new outreach system overseen through County contracts continues to strengthen in partnership with the 9 service provider agencies providing this service. The 7 geographically assigned teams along with 2 population specific teams for a total of 18 outreach workers working in pairs. The SHS program funds the teams working in urbanized Washington County, and other homeless services funds support two teams working in rural Washington County to ensure full geographic coverage. The outreach teams work in coordination with each other and other systems including law enforcement, the Encampment Management Program (EMP), shelters, and housing case managers.

Recently, outreach teams responded to an emergency closure of an encampment at 197th Avenue due to rising flood waters. This response helped connect 6 campers to shelter and helped all campers safely leave the area. The event was a learning opportunity for the coordination between the Encampment Management Program and our Outreach program, resulting in a new contract with one of the providers to work specifically in coordination with the EMP program to increase coordination and provide clear and consistent communication for impacted campers.

3. Housing Programs

In the second quarter of the program year, the Homeless Services Division saw visible traction in the Housing Case Management Services (HCMS) program. By the end of quarter 2 HCMS housing placements had reached almost 300 in Year 2, already reaching the total housing placement outcomes achieved in the first full year of programming. With over 900 households enrolled in the program and a higher rate of housing placement, the HCMS program is right on target to meet the program goal of 500 placements by June 30th.

To assist in the progression of the program's performance the Homeless Services Division focused on providing the 47 housing case managers (many newly hired) needed technical assistance and training. All HCMS workers are invited to weekly open office hours to discuss struggles, obstacles, and share success with their peers and receive guidance and support. This ongoing forum has been highly beneficial for the case management staff as they grow in honing their craft. In Quarter 2 an additional Office Hours was added specifically for assist the new growing number of rehousing supervisors. These weekly supervisor office hours have been highly attended by the contracted providers and opened a window into the other structural needs in establishing successful programming over the long term. Finally, in November, a 5-day in person case management bootcamp was also offered for all new staff to learn the essentials of housing case management.

The New Rapid Rehousing (RRH) program continues to launch with many provider staff now in position with training and enrollment underway. Hiring, onboarding, and training has proceeded more quickly with the RRH program after a year of practice with these same partners implementing the HCMS program. By the end of the quarter 2 new RRH case workers were in the field working with households with 8 more staff ready to be onboarded in the coming quarter. This equated to 75 housing placements and over 150 enrollments in the RRH program to date. The Homeless Services Division will continue to

provide ongoing technical assistance, weekly office hours, and training support based on provider partner needs with a goal to increase the rate of weekly housing placements in hopes to reach the program goal of 400 by June 30th.

The Homeless Services Division is excited to finally launch the Rapid Resolution program in quarter 3. This projected launch will close a gap within the rehousing system in Washington County, by offering all case managers within the Washington County homeless response system the ability to access one-time (short-term) funds to any houseless households who can resolve their homelessness with short term support and do not require ongoing program enrollment supports. Once launched Washington County will have a three-path rehousing system; Short-term Rapid Resolution, medium-term Rapid Rehousing, and long-term Housing Case Management Services, to ensure that there is an appropriate and suitable rehousing intervention to meet the needs of those experiencing homelessness in Washington County.

Finally, in addition to re-housing programs, the Division is also increasing investments into Eviction Prevention with SHS funding into the existing county-wide program implemented by Community Action. In Q3, Community Action will leverage capacity expanded during COVID Response to provide eviction prevention rent assistance to households with pending eviction notices. This contract will more than double our county's capacity to respond to the eviction crisis that contributes to new homelessness every month in Washington County. This program is anticipated to be funded by SHS for 2-3 years using underspent revenue while SHS homeless programs continue to build out, with the expectation that additional and permanent resources are will be identified at the federal or state level to address the ongoing and elevated risk of eviction after the end of pandemic era funding.

4. Other Supportive Programs

Housing Careers Pilot Program- The workforce pilot project has officially launched and been renamed the Housing Careers Pilot. In Quarter 2, the team finished building out the pilot's operations and service components in partnership with Worksystems, Inc., Open Door Housing Works, Portland Community College, and Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization (IRCO). The pilot prepared for the launch of its first cohort on January 9th, 2023, and secured internship placements with five homeless services providers in Washington County. As the inaugural cohort is underway with eleven participants, the SHS team looks to launch up to two additional cohorts beginning in the spring of 2023.

Housing Liaison Pilot Program- The Housing Liaison Pilot Program entered its six months of program operations this quarter. In partnership with four programs and divisions within the Health and Humans Services Department as well as the county's Hawthorn Walk-in Center, 56 new individuals were served by housing liaisons in the second quarter. Housing liaisons provide community connect assessments, resources to meet immediate needs, and limited housing navigation services. Additionally, liaisons work with the program staff where they are embedded to refer individuals into Health and Human Services programming when appropriate. The Housing Liaison Pilot will expand in Quarter 3, by adding two additional liaison positions to work alongside Community Corrections Department staff at Washington County. The liaisons will work alongside the Parole and Probation Division and Community Corrections Center staff to connect individuals involved in the criminal legal system to housing services.

PSH- SHS continues to work toward the goal to bring 100 project-based permanent supportive housing units on board in year 2. In the second quarter, a contract with the Viewfinder, an affordable housing complex in Tigard, reached the final stages of negotiation and will be executed in the first part of quarter 3. The contract will support resident services and building operations for 30 PSH units at the Viewfinder, 20 funded by SHS and 10 units funds with VASH.

The Aloha Inn, Washington County's first 100% PSH building, was a large focus of work during quarter two, as the construction phase nears completion. On site services will include case management, resident services, and behavioral health supports. Over the past three months, service providers in coordination with county staff hired to fill those roles, created building policies, and began the leasing up process. Construction is set to be complete in February, with participants moving into the building in March 2023. Those additional 54 units of project-based PSH will bring our PSH capacity funded with SHS to 84 units.

To reach our goal of 100 PSH units this program year, the SHS team will be conducting outreach in Q3 to already planned affordable housing developments as well as existing buildings that have a high number of vouchers attached to layer in additional PSH services and project-based vouchers.

Landlord Liaison: In quarter 2, Washington County's Landlord Liaison worked on finalizing contracts and processes for the financial protections that are a part of our wrap around landlord supports. This includes the Landlord Repair Fund and the Risk Mitigation Fund, both of which will be online early in quarter 3. The launch of those financial protection programs, along with the already existing landlord incentive payments, will allow for an official launch of a widescale landlord recruitment campaign in partnership with Multnomah and Clackamas counties. This launch will include media and events geared toward bringing landlords along as partners in SHS's mission to create long term tenancies to end chronic homelessness.

The landlord liaison program has also been focused on training case managers in housing navigation and long-term housing retention, and how to best work through barriers and conflicts that can arise in both areas in full partnership with landlords. There is a landlord warm line and a landlord liaison specific email for landlords to use if they are experiencing any issues, whether the issues are with the tenant, case manager, or any part of the voucher paperwork process, so that there is always a direct way to access support. This support and initial engagement with landlords and case managers, along with the incentive payments, brought 34 new landlords into the RLRA program in Q2.

Section 2. Data and data disaggregation

Please use the following table to provide and disaggregate data on Population A, Population B housing placement outcomes and homelessness prevention outcomes. Please use your local methodologies for tracking and reporting on Populations A and B. You can provide context for the data you provided in the context narrative below.

Data disclaimer:

HUD Universal Data Elements data categories will be used in this template for gender identity and race/ethnicity until county data teams develop regionally approved data categories that more accurately reflect the individual identities.

Section 2.A Housing Stability Outcomes: Placements & Preventions

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Supportive Housing

# housing placements – supportive housing*	This C	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%	
Total people	140		403		
Total households	124		348		
Race & Eth	nicity	'	'	'	
Asian or Asian American			5	1%	
Black, African American or African	15	11%	31	8%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	36	26%	112	29%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	9	7%	23	6%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	1%	12	3%	
White	113	83%	328	85%	
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	83	61%	230	60%	
Client Doesn't Know			4	1%	
Client Refused	2	1%	5	1%	
Data Not Collected	4	3%	10	3%	
Disability s	tatus				
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	107	76%	300	74%	
Persons without disabilities	25	18%	79	20%	
Disability unreported	8	6%	24	6%	
Gender ide	entity				
	#	%	#	%	
Male	65	46%	177	44%	
Female	68	49%	200	50%	
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	3	2%	3	1%	
Transgender			2	0%	
Questioning					
Client doesn't know	4	3%	21	5%	
Client refused					
Data not collected					

^{*}Supportive housing = permanent supportive housing and other service-enriched housing for Population A such as transitional recovery housing

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Rapid Re-Housing & Short-term Rent Assistance

# housing placements – RRH**	This C	Quarter	Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
Total people	183		206	
Total households	76		82	
Race & Ethi	nicity	•	•	•
Asian or Asian American	4	2%	4	2%
Black, African American or African	19	10%	19	9%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	122	67%	134	66%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	21	12%	21	10%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	2%	8	4%
White	137	75%	154	75%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	37	20%	42	21%
Client Doesn't Know	6	3%	6	3%
Client Refused	1	1%	1	0%
Data Not Collected				
Disability st	tatus		•	
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	47	26%	54	26%
Persons without disabilities	130	71%	145	70%
Disability unreported	6	3%	7	3%
Gender ide	ntity			
	#	%	#	%
Male	71	39%	81	39%
Female	108	59%	120	58%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	1	1%	1	0%
Transgender	2	1%	2	1%
Questioning				
Client doesn't know	1	1%	2	1%
Client refused				
Data not collected				

^{**} RRH = rapid re-housing or short-term rent assistance programs

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Other Permanent Housing Programs (if applicable)

If your county does not have Other Permanent Housing, please write N/A:______

	This Quarter	Year to Date
--	--------------	--------------

# housing placements – OPH***	#	%	#	%
Total people				
Total households				
Race & Ethnicit	у			
Asian or Asian American				
Black, African American or African				
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)				
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)				
Client Doesn't Know				
Client Refused				
Data Not Collected				
Disability statu	S		T	
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities				
Persons without disabilities				
Disability unreported				
Gender identit	/			
	#	%	#	%
Male				
Female				
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'				
Transgender				
Questioning				
Client doesn't know				
Client refused				
Data not collected				

^{***} OPH = other permanent housing programs (homeless preference units, rent assistance programs without services) that your system operates and SHS funds

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Housing Placements.

[enter narrative here]

Eviction and Homelessness Prevention

# of preventions	This Quarter # %	arter	Year to Date		
	#	%	#	%	
Total people					

Total households				
Race & Ethnic	city	•	•	•
Asian or Asian American				
Black, African American or African				
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)				
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)				
Client Doesn't Know				
Client Refused				
Data Not Collected				
Disability sta	tus			
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities				
Persons without disabilities				
Disability unreported				
Gender ident	tity		1	
	#	%	#	%
Male				
Female				
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'				
Transgender				
Questioning				
Client doesn't know				
Client refused				
Data not collected				

Section 2.B Regional Long-Term Rent Assistance Program

The following data represents a **subset** of the above Housing Placements data. The Regional Longterm Rent Assistance Program (RLRA) primarily provides permanent supportive housing to SHS priority Population A clients (though RLRA is not strictly limited to PSH or Population A).

RLRA data is not additive to the data above. Housing placements shown below are duplicates of the placements shown in the data above.

Please disaggregate data for the **total number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher** during the quarter and year to date.

Regional Long-term Rent Assistance	This Qu	arter	Year to	Date
Quarterly Program Data	#	%	#	%

Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting				
period	153		278	
Number of people newly leased up during reporting				
period	216		573	
Number of households newly leased up during				
reporting period	119		324	
Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher				
during reporting period	617		623	
Number of households in housing using an RLRA				
voucher during reporting period	1001		1017	
Race & Ethnic	city			
Asian or Asian American	15	1.5%	15	1.5%
Black, African American or African	130	13.0%	131	12.9%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	314	31.4%	327	32.2%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	53	5.3%	53	5.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	34	3.4%	34	3.3%
White	816	81.5%	830	81.6%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	499	49.9%	501	49.3%
Client Doesn't Know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Client Refused				
Data Not Collected				
Disability sta	tus		•	•
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	761	76%	753	74%
Persons without disabilities	180	18%	203	20%
Disability unreported	60	6%	61	6%
Gender iden	tity	·	·	
	#	%	#	%
Male	453	45.5%	463	45.7%
Female	537	54.5%	543	54.3%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	11	0.0%	11	0.0%
Transgender				
Questioning				
Client doesn't know				
Client refused				
Data not collected				
Data not conceted		1		

Definitions:

Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting period: Number of households who were issued an RLRA voucher during the reporting period. (Includes households still shopping for a unit and not yet leased up.)

Number of households/people newly leased up during reporting period: Number of households/people who completed the lease up process and moved into their housing during the reporting period.

Number of households/people in housing using an RLRA voucher during reporting period: Number of households/people who were in housing using an RLRA voucher at any point during the reporting period. (Includes (a) everyone who has been housed to date with RLRA and is still housed, and (b) households who became newly housed during the reporting period.)

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on the RLRA program.

[enter narrative here]

Section 2.C Subset of Housing Placements and Preventions: Priority Population Disaggregation

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements/preventions for SHS priority population A.

Population A Report	This C	(uarter	Year to Date	
· ·	#	%	#	%
Population A: Total people placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	149		308	
Population A: Total households placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	109		247	
Race & Ethnicit	.y	'	•	
Asian or Asian American			3	1%
Black, African American or African	18	12%	30	10%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	44	30%	73	24%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	21	14%	32	11%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1%	14	5%
White	118	80%	243	80%
(Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White	79	53%	180	59%
Client Doesn't Know			2	1%
Client Refused	1	1%	4	1%
Data Not Collected	1	1%	6	2%
Disability statu	s			
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	111	74%	253	82%
Persons without disabilities	32	21%	45	15%
Disability unreported	6	4%	10	3%
Gender identit	<u> </u>			
	#	%	#	%
Male	66	44%	142	46%
Female	77	52%	154	50%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	4	3%	4	1%
Transgender	1	1%	3	1%
Questioning				
Client doesn't know	1	1%	5	2%
Client refused				

Data not collected		

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements and preventions for SHS priority population B.

Population B Report	This Quarter		Year to Date	
'	#	%	#	%
Population B: Total people placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	174		301	
Population B: Total households placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	91		183	
Race & Eth	nicity			
Asian or Asian American	4	2%	6	2%
Black, African American or African	16	9%	20	7%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	114	67%	173	60%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	9	5%	12	4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	2%	6	2%
White	132	78%	239	84%
(Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White	41	24%	92	32%
Client Doesn't Know	6	4%	8	3%
Client Refused	2	1%	2	1%
Data Not Collected	3	2%	4	1%
Disability s	tatus			
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	43	25%	101	34%
Persons without disabilities	123	71%	179	59%
Disability unreported	8	5%	21	7%
Gender ide	entity			
	#	%	#	%
Male	70	40%	116	39%
Female	99	57%	166	55%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'				
Transgender	1	1%	1	0%
Questioning				
Client doesn't know	4	2%	18	6%
Client refused				
Data not collected				

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Population A/B.

[enter narrative here]

Section 2.D Other Data: Non-Housing Numeric Goals

This section shows progress to quantitative goals set in county annual work plans. Housing placement and prevention progress are already included in the above tables. This section includes goals such as shelter beds and outreach contacts and other quantitative goals that should be reported on a quarterly basis. This data in this section may differ county to county, and will differ year to year, as it aligns with goals set in county annual work plans.

Instructions: Please complete the tables below, as applicable to your annual work plans:

All counties please complete the table below:

Goal Type	Your FY 22-23 Goal	Progress this Quarter	Progress YTD
Shelter Beds	75 new year-round beds	70 year-round beds	70 year-round beds

If applicable for quarterly reporting, other goals from your work plan, if applicable (e.g. people served in outreach, other quantitative goals)

Goal Type	Your FY 22-23 Goal	Progress this Quarter	Progress YTD
[ADD here]			

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided in the above tables.

[enter narrative here]

Section 3. Financial reporting

Please complete the quarterly financial report and include the completed financial report to this quarterly report, as an attachment.

Metro Supportive Housing Services Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1) Washington County FY2022-23, Q2

	Annual Budget	Q1 Actuals	Q2 Actuals	Q3 Actuals	Q4 Actuals	Total YTD Actuals	Variance Under / (Over)	% of Budget	Comments
Metro SHS Resources	,								
Beginning Fund Balance	-	47,427,624				47,427,624	(47,427,624)	N/A	
Metro SHS Program Funds	50,328,300	13,598,070	15,434,915			29,032,985	21,295,315	58%	
Interest Earnings	-	193,464	307,564			501,028	(501,028)	N/A	
insert addt'l lines as necessary						-	-	N/A	
Total Metro SHS Resources	50,328,300	61,219,158	15,742,479	•		76,961,637	(26,633,337)	153%	
Metro SHS Requirements									
Program Costs Activity Costs									
Shelter, Outreach and Safety on/off the			I				I I		
Street	11,670,429	1,373,304	3,220,475			4,593,779	7,076,650	39%	
Short-term Housing Assistance	7,525,214	95,942	450,066			546.008	6,979,206	7%	
Permanent supportive housing services	7,323,214	33,342	430,000			340,008	0,373,200	7,70	
remailent supportive nousing services	9,307,031	626,843	2,003,981			2,630,824	6,676,207	28%	
Long-term Rent Assistance	11,396,205	2,095,470	1,697,001			3,792,471	7,603,734	33%	
Other supportive services	3,774,681	152,472	188,356			340,828	3,433,853	9%	
Systems and Capacity Building	1,499,102	157,440	378,809			536,249	962,853	36%	
						-	-	N/A	
Subtotal Activity Costs	45,172,662	4,501,471	7,938,688			12,440,159	32,732,503	28%	
61									
Administrative Costs [1]					1			2.07	Administrative Costs for long town yest assistance carried 20% of Doutson's VTD avenues on long town
Admin: Long-term Rent Assistance	231,928	39,703	39,117			78,820	153,108	34%	Administrative Costs for long-term rent assistance equals 2% of Partner's YTD expenses on long-term
Admin: Other	1,682,730	279,613	200,204			479,817	1,202,913	29%	Administrative Costs for Other Program Costs equals 5% of total YTD Other Program Costs.
Subtotal Administrative Costs	1,914,658	319,316	239,321			558,637	1,356,021	29%	
Other Costs									
Debt Service	-		I			-	- 1	N/A	
Regional Strategy Implementation Fund ^[2]	2,500,000						2,500,000	0%	Regional Strategy Implementation Fund equals 0% of Partner's total YTD expenses.
insert addt'l lines as necessary						-		N/A	
Subtotal Other Costs	2,500,000	- '	- '		-	-	2,500,000	0%	
Total Program Costs	49,587,320	4,820,787	8,178,009			12,998,796	36,588,524	26%	
Contingency and Ending Fund Balance									
Contingency (3)	740,980					-	740,980	0%	Contingency equals 0% of Partner's total YTD expenses.
Ending Fund Balance (Stabilization									
Reserve) ^[4]	-	56,398,371	7,564,470	•	-	63,962,841	(63,962,841)	N/A	Stabilization Reserve equals 83% of Partner's total YTD expenses.
Subtotal Contingency and Ending Fund Balance	740,980	56,398,371	7,564,470	÷	-	63,962,841	(63,221,861)	8632%	
Total Metro SHS Requirements	50,328,300	61,219,158	15,742,479		-	76,961,637	(26,633,338)	153%	
[1] Per IGA Section 3.4.2 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, Metro recommends, but does not require, that in a given Fiscal Year Administrative Costs for SHS should not exceed 5% of annual Program Funds allocated to Partner; and that Administrative Costs for administering lone-term rent assistance programs should not									

Per IGA Section 8.3.3 REGIONAL STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION FUND, each County must contribute not less than 5% of its share of Program Funds each Fiscal Year to a Regional Strategy Implementation Fund to achieve regional investment strategies.

Per IGA Section 5.5.4 CONTINGENCY, partner may establish a contingency account in addition to a Stabilization Reserve. The contingency account will not exceed 5% of Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year.

4 Per IGA Section 5.5.3 PARTNER STABILIZATION RESERVE, partner will establish and hold a Stabilization Reserve to protect against financial instability within the SHS program with a target minimum reserve level will be equal to 10% of Partner's Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year. The Stabilization serve for each County will be fully funded within the first three years.

Spend-Down Plan (IGA 5.5.2.1)

Expected % of Budget Spen Spent Spent					
Quarter 2 15% 16% -1% Quarter 3 20% 0% 20% Quarter 4 30% 0% 30%		Budget Spent		Variance	Comments Explain any material deviations from the Spend-Down Plan. ^[4]
Quarter 3 20% 0% 20% Quarter 4 30% 0% 30%	Quarter 1	10%	10%	0%	
Quarter 4 30% 0% 30%	Quarter 2	15%	16%	-1%	
	Quarter 3	20%	0%	20%	
Total 75% 26% 49%	Quarter 4	30%	0%	30%	
	Total	75%	26%	49%	

3 For the purpose of comparing "Actual % Spent," Partner should utilize the "% of Budget" figure from the "Total Program Costs" row in the above Financial Report (i.e. excluding Contingency and Ending Fund Balance), as indicated in the formula.

A material deviation" arises when the Program Funds spent in a given Fiscal Year cannot be reconciled against the spend-down plan to the degree that no reasonable person would conclude that Partner's spending was guided by or in conformance with the applicable spend-down plan.

Non-Displacement (IGA 5.5.1)

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT ONLY

	FY18-19	FY19-20	Prior FY	Current FY	Current FY	Variance	Comments
	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	Actuals	from	Comments
Current Partner-provided SHS Funds (Partner General Funds) ^[5]	N/A	794,401		1,001,800	250,450	(543,951)	Current FY amount represents actual as of September 2022, 3 months of funding.
Other Funds [6]	3,875,537	N/A	4,483,941	4,481,259	1,049,091	(2,826,446)	Current FY amount represents actual as of September 2022, 3 months amounts

39 per IGA Section 5.5.1.2 TERMS, "Current Partner-provided SH5 Funds" means Partner's general funds currently provided as of FY 2019-20 towards SHS programs within Partner's jurisdictional limits including, but not limited to, within the Region. "Current Partner-provided SH5 Funds" expressly excludes all other sources of funds Partner may use to fund SHS programs as of FY 2019-20 including, but not limited to, state or federal grants.

19 Per IGA Section 5.5.1.1 OTHER FUNDS include, but are not limited to, various state or federal grants and other non-general fund sources. Partner will attempt, in good faith, to maintain such funding at the same levels set forth in Partner's FY 2018-19 budget. However, because the amount and availability of these other funds are outside of Partner's control, they do not constitute Partner's Current Partner-provided SHS Funds for purposes of Displacement. Partner will provide Metro with information on the amount of other funds Partner has allocated to SHS, as well as the change, if any, of those funds from the prior Fiscal Year in its Annual Program Budget.